

**3-14-14 PLANNING COMMISSION DRAFT**  
**Chapter 8**  
**Shoreline Modification Policies and Regulations**

**8-1 Introduction**

At times, shoreline modifications may create adverse impacts on shorelines by altering the natural character, resources, and ecology of the shoreline. Shoreline modifications are generally related to construction of a physical element such as a dike, breakwater, dredged basin, or fill, but they can include other actions such as clearing, grading, application of chemicals, or significant vegetation removal. Shoreline modifications are usually undertaken in support of or in preparation for a shoreline use; for example, dredging (shoreline modification) to allow for a marina (boating facility use). All shoreline uses and activities, even those that are exempt from the requirement to obtain a shoreline substantial development permit, and regardless of the Shoreline Environment in which they are undertaken, must conform to all of the applicable policies and regulations listed in this SMP. For example, a residential development project that included docks and roads would need to comply with the policies and regulations related to docks and roads as well as those related to residential development.

Shoreline Modification Policies and Regulations cover the following areas:

- Section 8-5 General Provisions
- Section 8-10 Clearing and Grading
- Section 8-15 Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal
- Section 8-20 Fill
- Section 8-25 Flood Hazard Management Facilities
- Section 8-30 Shoreline Stabilization
- Section 8-35 Vegetation Conservation

**8-5 General Provisions**

8-5-010. Applicability: The provisions of this section apply to all shoreline modifications within shoreline areas.

8-5-020 Policies

1. All shoreline modifications should be in support of an allowed shoreline use that is in conformance with the provisions of this master program. Modifications should not be allowed when there is no other use of the lot.
2. Shoreline modifications should cause as few environmental impacts as possible and should be limited in size and number.
3. The type of shoreline and the surrounding environmental conditions should be considered in determining whether a proposed shoreline modification is appropriate.
4. Projects that include shoreline modifications should contribute to enhancement of shoreline ecological functions, when possible.
5. As shoreline modifications are allowed to occur, measures to protect and restore ecological functions should be implemented.
6. Preference shall be given for those types of shoreline modifications that have a lesser impact on ecological functions. For example, planting vegetation that will stabilize the shoreline is preferred rather than a concrete bulkhead.

8-5-030. Regulations

1. All shoreline modification activities not in support of a conforming allowed use are prohibited, unless it can be demonstrated that such activities are necessary and in the public interest for the maintenance or enhancement of shoreline ecological functions.
2. Shoreline modifications shall result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

3. Only shoreline modifications that are appropriate to the specific type of shoreline and environmental conditions shall be allowed. (See Table 9.3, Use-Related Development Standards)
4. Where a shoreline modification is authorized, the method that has the least impact on ecological function while achieving the purpose of the modification shall be used.
5. Shoreline modifications for non-water-dependent uses shall be allowed only if the net effect of the project over the whole site is to improve the ecological condition of the shoreline (i.e. another portion of the shoreline on the project site shall be ecologically enhanced to compensate for the shoreline modification).
6. Ecological impacts of shoreline modifications shall be mitigated to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Mitigation measures shall be applied in the following sequence of steps listed in order of priority, with A. of this subsection being top priority:
  - A. Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
  - B. Minimizing impacts to the greatest extent possible by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation by using appropriate technology or by taking affirmative steps to avoid or reduce impacts;
  - C. Mitigating the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
  - D. Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations;
  - E. Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing degraded shorelines, or providing substitute resources or environments; and
  - F. Monitoring the mitigation actions and taking appropriate corrective measures.

In determining appropriate mitigation measures, lower priority measures shall be applied only where higher priority measures are determined to be infeasible or inapplicable. Mitigation shall be in compliance with Appendix A, Mitigation, as well as any specific mitigation standards required by the appropriate section of this SMP.

7. All shoreline modification activities must conform to the General Provisions (see Chapter 6) and the provisions for the appropriate Environment Designation (see Chapter 9) in this master program.

## **8-10. Clearing and Grading**

**8-10-010. Applicability:** Clearing and grading are activities associated with developing property for a particular use. Specifically, "clearing" means the destruction, uprooting, scraping, or removal of vegetative ground cover, shrubs, and trees. "Grading" means the physical manipulation of the earth's surface and/or surface drainage pattern without significantly adding or removing on-site materials. "Fill" means placement of dry fill on existing dry or wet areas and is addressed later in this chapter.

Clearing and grading are regulated because they may increase erosion, siltation, runoff, and flooding, change drainage patterns; reduce flood storage capacity; and damage habitat. All clearing and grading within areas under shoreline jurisdiction, even that which does not require a permit, must be consistent with the Shoreline Management Act, the State rules implementing the Act, and the goals, policies, and regulations of this Master Program. The Vegetation Conservation provisions later in this chapter have direct application to clearing and grading proposals.

### **8-10-020. Policies**

1. Clearing and grading activities should only be allowed in association with an allowed shoreline use.
2. Clearing and grading in shoreline areas should be limited to the minimum necessary to accommodate permitted shoreline development.
3. Clearing and grading should be prohibited in required shoreline buffers, except for a 4'-wide path to provide access to a dock and reasonable access by property owners with disabilities.
4. All clearing and grading activities should be designed and conducted to minimize sedimentation and

impacts to shoreline ecological functions, including wildlife habitat functions and water quality. Negative environmental and shoreline impacts of clearing and grading should be avoided or minimized through proper site planning, construction timing and practices, vegetative stabilization or (where required) soft structural stabilization, use of erosion and drainage control methods, and by adequate maintenance.

5. For all clearing and grading proposals, a plan addressing species removal, re-vegetation, irrigation, erosion and sedimentation control, and other plans for protecting shoreline resources from harm should be required.
6. Cleared and disturbed sites remaining after completion of construction should be promptly re-stabilized, and should be replanted as soon as is practical with primarily native, self-sustaining plantings. Within the buffer, only native plants should be planted. If weather conditions preclude planting immediately after the completion of construction, replanting shall occur no later than the next planting season.
7. Restoration of disturbed areas is difficult in the Moses Lake area, due to the dry climate and abundant weed seeds. Avoiding disturbance is more effective and economical than restoration.

#### 8-10-030. Regulations

1. Since restoration is more difficult than avoiding the impact in the first place, all clearing and grading activities shall be limited to the minimum necessary for the intended development. The Vegetation Management provisions later in this chapter apply to all clearing and grading activities.
2. Clearing and Grading Plan
  - a. A clearing and grading plan shall be required for all development within shoreline jurisdiction, whether a shoreline permit is required or the project is exempt from a shoreline substantial development permit.
  - b. The clearing and grading plan shall address species removal, replanting, irrigation, erosion and sedimentation control, and plans for protecting shoreline resources from harm.
  - c. The plan must be approved by the City before any clearing or grading takes place.
3. No clearing and grading activities shall take place unless associated with an approved shoreline development. Clearing and grading shall be addressed in the permit or exemption for the shoreline use or activity with which it is associated. No clearing or grading shall take place before the permit or exemption is issued.
4. Immediately upon completion of the construction or maintenance activity, remaining cleared areas shall be restored to their pre-project condition, using compatible, self-sustaining vegetation.
  - a. If weather does not permit immediate restoration, replanting shall be completed during the next planting season.
  - b. A planting plan shall be submitted to the City for review and approval. Plants that may compromise shoreline values shall be prohibited. If necessary, a temporary sterile cover crop (e.g., a sterile non-persistent member of the grass family such as sterile Triticale, barley, or oats) shall be planted to prevent erosion during the establishment period; said cover crop shall be maintained until the permanent vegetation is sufficiently established to prevent erosion.
  - c. Replanted areas shall be maintained in accordance with the City's landscape maintenance requirements (MLMC Chapter 18.57.090). In the case of transportation, utility, or other capital facility construction, the agency or developer constructing or maintaining the facility shall also be responsible for maintaining the vegetation until it is established.
5. All shoreline areas disturbed by transportation, utility, or other facility maintenance shall be

restored to their pre-project condition, using compatible vegetation, immediately upon completion of maintenance activity. The permit application submittal shall identify the size, location, and species of plants that will be used. The agency or developer maintaining the facility shall also be responsible for maintaining the vegetation until it is established.

6. Clearing by hand-held equipment of invasive non-native vegetation on the State Noxious Weed List is permitted in shoreline areas provided the disturbed area is promptly replanted with vegetation from the recommended list or if the site will fully re-vegetate with plants that will support healthy shoreline function on its own within three growing seasons.
7. All shoreline development and activity shall use effective measures to minimize increases in surface water runoff and sedimentation that may result from clearing and grading activity. The applicant must include in the proposal the methods that will be used to control, treat, and release runoff so that receiving water quality and shore properties and features shall not be adversely affected. Such measures may include but are not limited to dikes, berms, catch basins or settling ponds, installation and maintenance of oil/water separators, grassy swales, interceptor drains, and landscaped buffers.
8. Soil stabilization associated with clearing and grading shall, whenever feasible, use bioengineering or other soft stabilization techniques.
9. Any significant placement of materials from off of the site, or substantial creation or raising of dry upland, shall be considered filling and shall comply with the fill provisions of Chapter 8, Modification Activities.
10. Before any clearing or grading takes place on a site, sediment control measures such as silt fences, sand bags, or other approved measures shall be in place to protect the lake, shoreline, and any wetlands from sedimentation during construction. Sediment control measures shall be inspected after every runoff event and at least once per month and shall be maintained when necessary to ensure proper functioning.

## **8-15. Dredging and Dredge Material Disposal**

**8-15-010. Applicability:** Dredging is the removal or displacement of earth or sediments such as gravel, sand, mud, silt, and/or other materials or debris from any water body or associated shoreline or wetland. Dredging is stringently regulated, since uncoordinated, piecemeal dredging in one area of the lake can have serious impacts on other areas. Dredging is normally done for specific purposes such as constructing or maintaining navigation channels, or marinas, for installing pipelines or cable crossings, or for dike or drainage system repair and maintenance. Dredge material disposal is the depositing of dredge materials on land or into water bodies for the purposes of either creating new lands or disposing of the by-products of dredging. Dredge material disposal within shoreline jurisdiction is also subject to the filling provisions found later in this chapter.

### **8-15-020. Policies**

1. New development should be sited and designed to avoid or, if that is not possible, to minimize the need for new and maintenance dredging.
2. Dredging and dredge material disposal should be located and conducted in a manner that minimizes damage to existing ecological functions and processes, including those in the area to be dredged, at the dredge material disposal site, and in other parts of the watershed. Impacts that cannot be avoided should be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
3. Dredging of bottom materials for the primary purpose of obtaining material for fill or other purposes should be prohibited, except when the material is necessary for the restoration of ecological functions.
4. Dredging operations should be planned and conducted to minimize interference with water and shoreline uses, properties, and values.
5. Dredging for the purpose of establishing, expanding, or relocating or reconfiguring navigation channels and basins should be allowed where necessary for assuring safe and efficient



accommodation of existing navigational uses, and then only when significant ecological impacts are minimized and when mitigation is provided.

6. Maintenance dredging of established navigation channels and basins should be restricted to maintaining previously dredged and/or existing authorized location, depth, and width.
7. Dredge material disposal in water bodies should be discouraged, except for habitat improvement or where depositing dredge material on land would be more detrimental to shoreline resources than deposition in water areas.
8. Where dredge material has suitable organic and physical properties, dredging operations should be encouraged to recycle dredged material for beneficial use in enhancement of beaches that provide public access, habitat creation or restoration, aggregate, or clean cover material at a landfill.
9. All sediment management and dredging should be carried out in a coordinated, well-planned manner.
10. Sediment management and dredging should be planned and conducted to optimize ecological function, while accommodating recreational navigation where possible.
11. Dredging should improve fish and wildlife habitat.
12. Dredging should not result in increased shoreline erosion.
13. Dredging should not impact benthic macroinvertebrates, which are important forage for the lake's fish and migrating birds.
14. Dredging should not result in reduction of the area of existing native emergent vegetation, such as bulrush, or area where bulrush should be able to occur but have been removed.

#### 8-15-030. Regulations—Dredging

1. Dredging shall only be permitted as part of the implementation of the Sediment Management element of the Restoration Plan (Chapter 11 of this Shoreline Master Program). The City shall require and use the following information in its review of shoreline dredging and dredge material disposal proposals:
  - a. Dredging volumes, methods, schedules, frequency, hours of operation, and procedures.
  - b. Method of disposal, including the location, size, capacity, and physical characteristics of the disposal site, transportation methods and routes, hours of operation, and schedule.
  - c. Stability of bedlands adjacent to the proposed dredging site.
  - d. Stability of geologically hazardous areas in the vicinity of the proposed dredging site.
  - e. Assessment of water quality impacts.
  - f. Habitat assessment meeting the standards prescribed for Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas in Chapter 6, including migratory, seasonal, and spawning use areas.
2. In evaluating permit applications for any dredging project, the Planning Commission shall consider the need for and adverse effects of the initial dredging, subsequent maintenance dredging, and dredge disposal. Dredging and dredge material disposal shall only be permitted where it is demonstrated that the proposed actions will not:
  - a. Result in significant and/or on-going damage to water quality, fish, or other biological elements;
  - b. Adversely alter natural drainage and circulation patterns, or significantly reduce flood storage capacities;
  - c. Affect slope stability; or
  - d. Otherwise damage shoreline or aquatic resources.
3. Proposals for dredging and dredge disposal shall include all feasible mitigation measures to protect fish and wildlife habitat and minimize adverse impacts such as turbidity; release of nutrients, heavy metals, sulfides, organic materials, or toxic substances; dissolved oxygen depletion; or disruption of food chains.

4. Dredging waterward of the ordinary high water mark shall be permitted only:
  - a. For navigation or navigational access;
  - b. In conjunction with a water-dependent use of water bodies or adjacent shorelands;
  - c. As part of the Sediment Management element in the Restoration Plan (Chapter 11) that has been developed by the City, Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and other stakeholders and entities, and has been accepted by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife or other agency with jurisdiction;
  - d. To improve water quality;
  - e. In conjunction with a bridge or a navigational channel or structure for which there is a documented public need and where other feasible sites or routes do not exist; or
  - f. To improve water flow and/or manage flooding only when consistent with an approved flood and/or stormwater comprehensive management plan.
5. When dredging is permitted, the dredging shall be the minimum necessary to accommodate the proposed use.
6. Any impacts of dredging that cannot be avoided shall be mitigated in a manner that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
7. Dredging shall use techniques that cause the minimum dispersal and broadcast of bottom material.
8. Dredging for the primary purpose of obtaining material for fill is prohibited, except when the material is necessary for the restoration of ecological functions. When allowed, the site where the fill is to be placed must be located waterward of the ordinary high-water mark. The project must be associated with a significant habitat enhancement project.
9. Dredging upland of the ordinary high water mark to construct canals or basins for boat moorage or launching, water ski landings, swimming holes, and similar uses is prohibited.

#### 8-15-040. Regulations—Dredge Material Disposal

1. Disposal of dredged materials shall be accomplished at approved contained upland sites.
2. Depositing dredge materials in water areas shall be allowed only by conditional use permit, and only for improving fish and wildlife habitat as part of the sediment management element of the Restoration Plan in Chapter 11 of this Shoreline Master Program.
3. Land disposal sites shall be replanted as soon as feasible, and in no case later than the next planting season, in order to retard wind and water erosion and to restore the wildlife habitat value of the site. Vegetation from the recommended list (see Chapter 14) or other species authorized by the City shall be used. Native plants are preferred. Plants that may compromise shoreline values shall be prohibited. The permit application submittal shall identify the size, location, and species of plants that will be used. The agency or developer responsible for the land disposal shall also be responsible for maintaining the vegetation until it is established.
4. Proposals for disposal in shoreline areas must show that the site will ultimately be suitable for a use permitted by this master program.

#### **8-20. Fill**

8-20-010. Applicability: Fill is the addition of soil, sand, rock, gravel, sediment, earth retaining structure, or other material to an area waterward of the ordinary high water mark, in wetlands, or on shorelands in a manner that raises the elevation or creates dry land. Fill does not include sanitary landfills for the disposal of solid waste, which are prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction except for temporary trash receptacles at commercial and public park developments.

#### 8-20-020. Policies

1. Fills waterward of the ordinary high water mark should be allowed only when necessary to facilitate

water-dependent and/or public access uses that are consistent with this master program.

2. Shoreline fills should be designed and located so that there will be no significant damage to existing ecological systems or natural resources, and no alteration of local currents, surface water drainage, or flood waters that would result in a hazard to adjacent life, property, or natural resource systems.
3. In evaluating fill projects, such factors as potential and current public use of the shoreline and water surface area, navigation, water flow and drainage, water quality, and habitat should be considered and protected to the maximum extent feasible.
4. The perimeter of any fill should be designed to avoid or eliminate erosion and sedimentation impacts, both during initial fill activities and over time. Natural-appearing and self-sustaining control methods are preferred over structural methods.
5. Where permitted, fills should be the minimum necessary to provide for the proposed use and should be permitted only when they are part of a specific development proposal that is permitted by this master program. Placing fill in water bodies or wetlands to create usable land should be prohibited.

#### 8-20-030. Regulations

1. The City shall require and use the following information in its review of fill proposals:
  - a. Proposed use of the fill area.
  - b. Physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the fill material.
  - c. Source of the fill material.
  - d. Method of placement and compaction.
  - e. Location of fill relative to existing drainage patterns and wetlands.
  - f. Location of the fill perimeter relative to the ordinary high water mark.
  - g. Perimeter erosion control or stabilization measures.
  - h. Type of surfacing and runoff control devices.
2. Fill waterward of the ordinary high water mark or in wetlands shall only be permitted as a conditional use, and only for one of the following purposes. Fill in wetlands must comply with the wetlands provisions in Chapter 6 of this SMP.
  - a. In conjunction with a water-dependent or public use permitted by this master program.
  - b. In conjunction with a bridge or navigational structure for which there is a demonstrated public need (based on the City's *Comprehensive Plan*) and where no feasible upland sites, design solutions, or routes exist.
  - c. As part of an approved beach restoration project.
  - d. For fisheries, aquaculture, or wildlife enhancement projects.
3. Pier or pile support shall be utilized whenever feasible in preference to filling. Fills for approved road development in floodways or wetlands shall be permitted only if pile or pier supports are proven infeasible.
4. Fills are prohibited in floodplains except where it can be clearly demonstrated that the geohydraulic characteristics and floodplain storage capacity will not be altered to cause increased flood hazard or other damage to life or property. Fills are prohibited in floodways, except when approved by conditional use permit and where required in conjunction with a proposed water-dependent or other use, as specified in Regulation 2 above.
5. Fills shall be permitted only when it is demonstrated that the proposed action will not:
  - a. Result in significant damage to water quality or fish and wildlife habitat;
  - b. Adversely affect natural drainage and circulation patterns or significantly reduce flood water

- capacities;
  - c. Affect slope stability; or
  - d. Otherwise damage shoreline or aquatic resources.
6. Fills shall be allowed only as part of a specific proposal for a use or activity that is permitted by this master program. Placing fill in water bodies or wetlands to create usable land is prohibited.

#### 8-20-040. Regulations–Design and Construction

1. Where fills are permitted, the fills shall be the minimum necessary to accommodate the proposed use.
2. Fills shall be designed, constructed, and maintained to prevent, minimize, and control all material movement, erosion, and sedimentation from the affected area. Perimeters of permitted fill projects shall be designed and constructed with silt curtains, vegetated buffer areas, or other methods, and shall be adequately sloped to prevent erosion and sedimentation both during initial fill activities and afterwards. Such containment practices shall occur during the first growing season following completion of the fill. The design shall incorporate natural-appearing and self-sustaining control methods unless they can be demonstrated to be infeasible due to existing environmental conditions such as currents and weather.
3. Fill materials shall be sand, gravel, rock, soil, or similar materials. Use of polluted dredge spoils, solid waste, and sanitary landfill materials is prohibited.
4. Fills shall be designed to allow surface water penetration into ground water supplies where such conditions existed prior to fill. Fills shall not be permitted in aquifer recharge areas if they would have the effect of preventing percolation of the water.
5. The timing of fill construction shall be regulated to result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions, including water quality and aquatic life.
6. Fill on dry land shall not result in substantial changes to patterns of surface water drainage from the project site and onto adjacent properties; within shoreline areas; into aquatic areas; or onto steep slopes or other erosion hazard areas.

### **8-25. Flood Hazard Management**

8-25-010. Applicability: Flood hazard management projects are those actions taken with the primary purpose of preventing or minimizing damage caused by flooding.

#### 8-25-020. Policies

1. Construction should not be allowed in flood hazard areas.

#### 8-25-030. Regulations

1. All flood hazard management projects shall comply with Moses Lake Municipal Code 18.53, Flood Hazard Areas and with the General Regulations for Frequently Flooded Areas.
2. Environment-specific regulations: flood hazard management projects shall comply with the environment-specific requirements in Chapter 9.

### **8-30. Shoreline Stabilization**

8-30-010-A. Applicability: Shoreline stabilization includes actions taken primarily to address erosion impacts to upland property and improvements caused by current, wake, or wave action. Those actions include structural, nonstructural, and vegetative methods.

8-30-010-B. Structural stabilization may be “hard” or “soft.” “Hard” structural stabilization measures refer to those with solid, hard surfaces, such as concrete bulkheads, which deflect rather than absorb wave energy, while “soft” stabilization, such as biotechnical stabilization, which employs plant materials, rolled erosion control and soil engineering fabrics, and similar structural materials to absorb wave energy and restore the function of a natural shoreline. Generally, the harder the stabilization measure, the greater

the impact on shoreline processes, including sediment transport, geomorphology, and biological functions. Hard shoreline stabilization methods also result in vegetation removal and damage to near-shore habitat and shoreline corridors.

8-30-010-C. Human use of the shoreline has typically led to hardening of the shoreline for various reasons, including reduction of erosion, providing useful space at the shore, or providing access to docks. The impacts of hardening any one property may be minimal, but cumulatively the impact of shoreline hardening is significant. Hard structures, especially vertical walls, often create conditions that lead to the failure of the structure. Over time, the substrate of the shoreline coarsens and scours down to bedrock. The footings of the bulkhead are exposed, leading to undermining and failure.

8-30-010-D. The following methods of shoreline stabilization are organized from “biotechnical” to “hard structural”. The use of biotechnical stabilization is required, unless this design method has been found technically not feasible by a qualified expert such as a soil bioengineering practitioner.

1. Biotechnical or Soil Bioengineering:
  - biotechnical measures as described above
2. “Hard Structural”
  - a. riprap
  - b. retaining walls (sheet piling, concrete, etc.)
  - c. bulkheads (sheet piling, concrete, etc.)

8-30-010-E. Non-structural methods include building setbacks, ground water management, and planning and regulatory measures to avoid the need for structural stabilization.

8-30-010-F. Vegetative methods include re-vegetation and vegetation enhancement. In addition, vegetation is often used as part of structural stabilization methods; it is always part of biotechnical stabilization. For the purposes of this section, vegetative methods are considered to include only re-vegetation and vegetation enhancement.

Note: Additional regulations for bulkheads and riprap are found in a separate section, below. Bulkheads and riprap must meet the provisions of both sections.

#### 8-30-020. Policies

1. Stabilization measures should be designed, located, and constructed primarily to prevent damage to existing development.
2. No structural stabilization measures should be allowed for a vacant lot.
3. New development should be located and designed to eliminate the need for future shoreline stabilization.
4. Shoreline vegetation, both on the bank and in the water, is very effective at stabilizing shorelines. For this reason, property owners are strongly encouraged to protect existing shoreline vegetation and restore it where it has been removed. Preserving and restoring shoreline vegetation should be the preferred method of shoreline stabilization.
5. Structural solutions to shoreline erosion should be allowed only if non-structural and vegetative methods would not be able to reduce existing or ongoing damage. The “softest” structural stabilization that will be effective should be used.
6. Public projects should be models of good shoreline stabilization design and implementation.

#### 8-30-030. Regulations

1. New structural stabilization measures shall not be allowed except to protect or support an existing or approved use or for the restoration of ecological functions when non-structural or vegetative methods are not feasible or are not sufficient. New or enlarged “hard” stabilization methods shall not be



allowed unless there is conclusive evidence, documented by a geotechnical analysis, that the primary structure is in danger from shoreline erosion caused by current or waves, and that the proposed "hard" stabilization measure is the least impacting method that will protect the structure. Use of shoreline stabilization measures to create usable land is prohibited.

2. New non-water-dependent uses, including single-family residences, that includes structural shoreline stabilization shall not be allowed unless all of the following conditions apply:
  - a. The need to protect the use from destruction due to erosion caused by natural processes, such as currents and waves, is demonstrated through a geotechnical report.
  - b. The erosion is not being caused by upland conditions, such as drainage and the loss of vegetation.
  - c. Non-structural measures (such as placing the use farther from the shoreline), vegetative methods, or installing on-site drainage improvements, are not feasible or not sufficient.
  - d. The stabilization will not cause significant ecological impacts to any species or habitat.
3. Shoreline stabilization shall not be allowed for new uses if it would cause a net loss of shoreline ecological functions on the site, within the city, or within the watershed; or if it would cause significant ecological impacts to adjacent properties or shoreline areas. Those impacts include accelerated erosion of adjacent properties caused by the stabilization measures.
4. Creation of new lots that will require shoreline stabilization in order for development to occur shall not be allowed.
5. New uses in areas above unstable slopes and moderately unstable slopes shall be set back sufficiently to ensure that shoreline stabilization will not be needed during the life of the structure, as demonstrated by a geotechnical analysis.
6. Where structural shoreline stabilization measures are demonstrated to be necessary, the size of the stabilization measures shall be limited to the minimum necessary. Stabilization measures used shall be designed to minimize harm to ecological functions. Lost functions shall be mitigated to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Soft approaches shall be used unless demonstrated by a geotechnical report to be insufficient to protect the primary structure or structures.
7. Shoreline stabilization measures shall be designed to restore, as much as possible, the ecological functions of the shoreline.
8. Where stabilization is necessary to alleviate erosion caused by removal of vegetation, vegetative stabilization measures shall be the only stabilization measures allowed.
9. Publicly financed or subsidized shoreline erosion control measures shall not restrict appropriate public access to the shoreline, except where such access is determined to be infeasible because of incompatible uses, safety, security, or harm to ecological functions. Where feasible, ecological restoration and public access improvements shall be incorporated into the project.
10. All applicable federal, state, and local permits shall be obtained and complied with in the construction of shoreline stabilization measures. All permits must be issued before any stabilization work takes place.
11. Enlarging or replacing an existing stabilization structure shall be evaluated the same as a new stabilization structure.
12. Where geotechnical reports are required that address the need to prevent potential damage to a primary structure, the following apply:
  - A. The geotechnical report shall address the necessity for shoreline stabilization by estimating time frames and rates of erosion and report on the urgency associated with the specific situation.
  - B. Hard armoring solutions shall not be authorized except when the geotechnical report confirms that there is a significant possibility that the structure will be damaged within three years as a

result of shoreline erosion in the absence of such hard armoring measures, or where waiting until the need is that immediate would foreclose the opportunity to use measures that avoid impacts on ecological functions.

- C. Where a geotechnical report confirms a need to prevent potential damage to a primary structure, but the need is not as immediate as three years, the report may still be used to justify more immediate authorization to protect against erosion using soft measures.
- D. The geotechnical report shall be prepared by a qualified professional engineer or geologist who has professional expertise about the regional and local shoreline geology and processes.

#### **8-30-040. Bulkheads and Riprap**

##### **8-30-050. Applicability:**

- A. A bulkhead is a type of hard structural shoreline stabilization measure. Bulkheads are walls, constructed parallel to the shoreline and in contact with the water, whose primary purpose is to contain and prevent the loss of soil caused by erosion or wave action. A bulkhead-like structure used as part of the structure of a cantilevered dock is not regulated as a bulkhead as long as the width is no more than what is required to stabilize the dock.
- B. Riprap is a layer, facing, or mound of stone placed on a slope.
- C. Exemption: Certain bulkheads are exempt from the requirement to obtain a shoreline substantial development permit. However, all bulkheads must comply with the Shoreline Management Act, the rules implementing the Act, and this Master Program.

##### **8-30-060. Policies**

1. A bulkhead or riprap are not preferred methods of stabilizing the shoreline, because bulkheads and riprap significantly degrade fish and wildlife habitat by the removal of shoreline vegetation, increase erosion on neighboring properties, and change the natural sedimentation process.
2. Cumulative impacts of bulkheads and riprap should be considered, since over time and as more shoreline is lost to bulkheading and riprap, the resulting loss of habitat may have long-term impacts on fish populations as well as to the overall ecological value of the lake.
3. Most areas along Moses Lake can be adequately stabilized using softer, more natural means, such as vegetation enhancement, rather than a bulkhead or riprap.
4. If the purpose is not stabilization, a retaining wall, set back from shoreline vegetation, should be used rather than a bulkhead at the water's edge. (Retaining walls for purposes other than shoreline stabilization must comply with the setback and buffering requirements under the heading "Environmental Impacts and Water Quality" in Chapter 6 of this SMP.)
5. Because a bulkhead or riprap on one property can accelerate erosion on adjacent properties, the impacts of a proposed bulkhead or riprap on adjacent properties should be analyzed and considered before the bulkhead or riprap is approved.
6. A bulkhead should be allowed only for shoreline stabilization, and only if all more ecologically-sound measures are proven infeasible.
7. Property owners are encouraged to remove existing bulkheads and restore the shoreline to a more natural state. As an incentive, such projects should be considered to be watershed restoration projects and therefore processed without a fee charged for the shoreline permit.

##### **8-30-070. Regulations**

1. All shoreline stabilization policies and regulations apply.
2. New or enlarged or replacement bulkheads or riprap for an existing principal structure or use, including residences, shall not be allowed unless there is conclusive evidence, documented by a geotechnical analysis, that the principal structure is in danger from shoreline erosion caused by

currents or waves. Normal sloughing, or shoreline erosion itself, without a scientific or geotechnical analysis, is not demonstration of need. The geotechnical analysis shall evaluate on-site drainage issues and address drainage problems away from the shoreline edge before considering structural shoreline stabilization. The project design and analysis shall also evaluate vegetation enhancement and biotechnical stabilization as a means of reducing undesirable erosion. The geotechnical analysis shall demonstrate that the stabilization measure chosen is the softest means that will be sufficient to achieve stabilization. The geotechnical analysis shall evaluate impacts to neighboring properties caused by the proposed stabilization.

3. A bulkhead-type structure used to stabilize a dock may be permitted, but the size shall be limited to the minimum necessary for the dock. The stabilization structure shall not exceed 2' wider than the dock on each side nor shall it exceed 14' in total width along the shoreline.

### **8-35. Vegetation Conservation**

#### **8-35-010. Applicability:**

- A. Vegetation conservation includes activities to prevent the loss of plant communities that contribute to the ecological functioning of shoreline areas. The intent of vegetation conservation is to provide habitat, improve water quality, reduce destructive erosion, sedimentation, and flooding; and accomplish other functions performed by plant communities along shorelines. Vegetation conservation deals with the protection of existing diverse plant communities along the shorelines, aquatic weed control, and the restoration of altered shorelines by reestablishing natural plant communities as a dynamic system that stabilizes the land from the effects of erosion.
- B. Vegetation conservation provisions are important for several reasons, including water quality, habitat, and shoreline stabilization. Shoreline vegetation improves water quality by removing excess nutrients and toxic compounds, and removing or stabilizing sediments. Habitat functions of shoreline vegetation include shade, recruitment of vegetative debris (fine and woody), refuge, and food production. Shoreline vegetation, especially woody plants with large root systems above the ordinary high water mark and emergent plants such as bulrushes, can be very effective at stabilizing the shoreline and preventing erosion. An additional reason that vegetation conservation provisions are important is that the Shoreline Management Act sets preferences for shorelines of statewide significance, such as Moses Lake. Those preferences include preserving the natural character, resources and ecology of the shoreline.
- C. Vegetation conservation provisions apply even to those uses that are exempt from the requirement to obtain any sort of shoreline permit.

#### **8-35-020. Policies**

1. Natural plant communities within and bordering shorelines should be protected and maintained to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.
2. Natural shoreline vegetation should be maintained and enhanced to reduce the hazard of bank failures and accelerated erosion. Vegetation removal that is likely to result in soil erosion severe enough to create the need for structural shoreline stabilization measures should be prohibited.
3. Shoreline vegetation degraded by natural or manmade causes should be restored wherever feasible.
4. Non-structural and "soft" methods of shoreline stabilization, such as vegetation enhancement and soil bioengineering, are preferred to hard structures to arrest the processes of erosion, sedimentation, and flooding.
5. Removal of vegetation should be limited to the minimum necessary to reasonably accommodate the permitted use or activity.
6. The physical and aesthetic qualities of the natural shoreline should be maintained and enhanced.
7. Preference should be given to preserving and enhancing natural vegetation closest to the ordinary high water mark.

8. Aquatic weed management should stress prevention first.

#### 8-35-030. Regulations

1. Whenever possible, development shall be located away from shorelines where the Erosion Hazard has been identified as "Very High" or the Shoreline Exposure Range is shown as greater than ten (10) meters in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*.
2. Restoration of any shoreline that has been disturbed or degraded shall use plant materials from the recommended list (see Chapter 14) or other species approved by the City, with a diversity and type similar to or better than that which originally occurred on the site. Questions about appropriate diversity and type shall be directed to agencies with jurisdiction, such as the departments of Ecology and Fish and Wildlife.
3. Stabilization of erosion-prone surfaces along shorelines shall utilize vegetative, non-structural means wherever possible.
4. Vegetation removal that would be likely to result in significant soil erosion or the need for structural shoreline stabilization measures is prohibited. This does not preclude the removal of noxious weeds, provided the disturbed area is promptly replanted with vegetation from the recommended list or if the site will fully re-vegetate on in its own within three growing seasons.
5. Topping of trees shall be prohibited in all cases.
6. Removal of noxious weeds in environmentally sensitive areas shall be timed and carried out in a manner that minimizes any disruption of wildlife or habitat.
7. Within the required shoreline buffer, no disturbance is allowed, with the following exceptions:
  - A. Removal of noxious weeds.
  - B. With the approval of the Community Development Department, removal of weeds and planting of approved beneficial species. Before any work is done, the landowner shall submit a plan to the Community Development Department.
  - C. Creation of a path no wider than 4' which provides access to an approved dock, except that a wider path may be permitted if needed for a property owner with a disability.
  - D. Removal of vegetation damaged or destroyed by a natural occurrence.
8. Permits issued for projects in ecologically degraded areas shall include a condition that appropriate shoreline vegetation shall be planted or enhanced, to contribute to the restoration of ecological processes and functions.
9. Emergent plants such as bulrushes absorb wave energy and protect the shoreline from erosion. These plants shall be preserved to the greatest extent possible and shall not be removed, uprooted, trimmed, or burned. Limited removal may be allowed for access, such as immediately adjacent to a dock.
10. Significant vegetation removal is a shoreline modification which is regulated and requires a shoreline permit. Significant vegetation removal is defined as the removal or alteration of trees, shrubs, and/or ground cover by clearing, grading, cutting, burning, chemical means, or other activity that causes significant ecological impacts to functions provided by such vegetation. The removal of invasive or noxious weeds does not constitute significant vegetation removal. Tree pruning, not including tree topping, where it does not affect ecological functions, does not constitute significant vegetation removal.





# Planning Commission Draft 1-7-14

## Chapter 9 Shoreline Environment Designations

### Introduction

Shoreline Environment Designations are intended to encourage uses and activities that will protect or enhance the present or desired character of a shoreline. Like most others in the state, the City of Moses Lake's original Shoreline Master Program (SMP) used a classification system composed of four Shoreline Environment Designations ("Natural", "Conservancy", "Rural" and "Urban") intended to accommodate different levels and types of development. The state's new SMP guidelines recommend a new classification system to better reflect development patterns and to dovetail more readily with the requirements of the Growth Management Act. The City of Moses Lake used the state's new classification system as a starting point and tailored it to suit local conditions, local interests, and growth management planning. The City's new system includes nine Shoreline Environment Designations.

Each segment of shoreline in the City of Moses Lake and its Urban Growth Area (UGA) has been given a Shoreline Environment Designation based on its ecological function and value, existing and planned development patterns, and local interests, as reflected in the public participation process conducted as part of the development of this plan. The assessment of ecological function and value was derived from the Inventory and Analysis prepared by Central Washington University, described in Chapters 3 and 4 of this plan. Development characteristics are a function of three factors:

- Zoning
- Current use
- Comprehensive Plan and *Park, Recreation, and Open Space Plan* designations (which reflect the City's anticipated need for commercial and industrial land and, more specifically, for water-dependent and water-oriented uses)

This chapter includes classification criteria for each Shoreline Environment Designation. A section for each Shoreline Environment Designation lists the policies and regulations specific to that designation, and, for all designations except Aquatic, lists the shoreline segments ("reaches") designated and the rationale for each designation. Allowed uses and development standards follow in tabular form. The policies specific to each designation, along with relevant policies from Chapters 6, 7, and 8, were used in determining the uses and activities allowed in each shoreline environment. The development standards and development criteria specify how and where permitted development can take place within each shoreline environment.

### City of Moses Lake Shoreline Environment Designations

This master program establishes nine shoreline environments for the City of Moses Lake and its UGA:

H = High Intensity

H-R = High Intensity—Resource Area

SR = Shoreline Residential

SR-R = Shoreline Residential—Resource Area

SR-S = Shoreline Residential—Special Resource Area

SR-D = Shoreline Residential—Dunes Area

W = Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities

N = Natural

A = Aquatic

Resource designations ("High Intensity—Resource Area", "Shoreline Residential—Resource Area", and "Shoreline Residential—Special Resource Area") indicate the need for special consideration to protect ecological functions and values. On lands bearing Resource designations, that consideration shall be reflected in regulations applying to a Resource Zone. Regulations that apply to uses and activities within the Resource Zone are shown in Table 9.3.

The table below describes the designation criteria for each of the nine shoreline environments. Policies for each shoreline environment follow.

**TABLE 9.1**  
**SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA**

<b>Environment designation</b>	<b>Classification criteria</b>	<b>Comments</b>
High-Intensity	Ecological functions on lands to be designated "High Intensity" are impaired to a degree that renders them suitable for water-oriented uses; they currently support or are planned for high-intensity uses.	<i>Although they are among the most heavily impaired shoreline lands in Moses Lake, High Intensity lands retain resource value and present opportunities for protection and restoration</i>
High-Intensity—Resource	Lands to be designated "High Intensity —Resource" demonstrate impairments to ecological function. They retain important ecological functions and have the potential for development that is compatible with ecological protection and restoration. They currently support or are planned for high-intensity uses.	
Shoreline Residential	Ecological functions on lands to be designated "Shoreline Residential" are impaired to a degree that renders them suitable for water-oriented uses; they currently support or are planned for shoreline residential uses. These areas have more than half of the shoreline previously hardened with bulkheads, have many existing docks, have few undeveloped parcels, do not have wetlands, and have little to no existing emergent vegetation.	<i>Although they are among the most heavily impaired shoreline lands in Moses Lake, Shoreline Residential lands retain resource value and present opportunities for protection and restoration</i>
Shoreline Residential—Resource	Lands to be designated "Shoreline Residential—Resource" demonstrate impairments to ecological function. They retain important ecological functions and have the potential for development that is compatible with ecological protection and restoration. They currently support or are planned for shoreline residential uses.	
Shoreline Residential—Special Resource	Lands to be designated "Shoreline Residential—Special Resource" demonstrate impairments to ecological function; they also retain important ecological functions and have high potential for ecological protection and restoration because they include relatively large tracts that have not been subdivided or include large wetland areas. They currently support or are planned for shoreline residential uses and are either relatively intact or, if impaired, have not been subdivided and retain extensive natural vegetation.	

<b>Environment designation</b>	<b>Classification criteria</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Shoreline Residential—Dunes	The area to be designated “Shoreline Residential—Dunes” has been found to be relatively intact as regards ecological function. It is part of a dunes ecosystem that performs important ecological functions. It is also planned for shoreline residential use. The area has high potential for planned development that combines limited residential use with ecological protection and restoration.	
Water-Oriented Parks & Public Facilities	Lands to be designated “Water-Oriented Parks & Public Facilities” demonstrate impairments to ecological function. They retain important ecological functions and have the potential for development that is compatible with ecological protection and restoration. Because many of the sites are owned and managed by the City, the potential for combining restoration with water-oriented uses is high.	
Natural	Lands to be designated “Natural” have been found to be relatively intact as regards ecological function. They perform important, irreplaceable functions that would be damaged by human activity and could not support new development or uses without significant adverse impacts to ecological functions. All islands are to be designated “Natural”.	
Aquatic	Lands designated “Aquatic” are those waterward of the OHWM, including lakebed aquifer recharge areas.	

All areas within shoreline jurisdiction that are not mapped and/or designated are automatically assigned a “Shoreline Residential—Special Resource” designation until the shoreline can be redesignated through a master program amendment.

## **High Intensity (H) Environment**

### **Policies**

1. Because shorelines are a finite resource and because high-intensity uses tend to preclude other shoreline uses, emphasis should be given to directing new development into areas that are already developed and are consistent with this master program and the City's Comprehensive Plan, and to uses requiring a shoreline location. Full utilization of existing high-intensity areas should be encouraged before further expansion is allowed. Redevelopment of under-used areas should be encouraged.
2. Priority should be given to water-dependent, water-related, and water-enjoyment uses over other uses. Uses that derive no benefit from a water location should be discouraged.
3. Visual and physical public access should be encouraged without violating private property rights.
4. Planning for the acquisition of land for permanent public access to the water in the High Intensity Environment should be encouraged and implemented.
5. In order to make maximum use of the available shoreline resources and to accommodate future water-oriented uses, the redevelopment and renewal of substandard, degraded, or obsolete urban shoreline areas should be encouraged.

### **Reaches Designated "H"**

Most of the reaches designated "H" either support high-intensity uses (in the case of reach 1B) or are planned for such uses. They are zoned Light Industrial (LI), General Commercial & Business (C-2), and Central Business District (C-1); and bear *Comprehensive Plan* designations of Central Business District (CBD) or General Commercial (GC). The remaining reaches are highway segments, streets, or railroad right-of-way, and are not designated in the *Comprehensive Plan*.

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
1B	Gravel mining	UR-2, UPF	LDR
9A	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated
9C	Railroad	unzoned	Undesignated
9D	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated
12A	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated
12B	Railroad	unzoned	Undesignated
12D	Street/bridge	unzoned	Undesignated
13B	Developed downtown commercial use	C-1, C-2, LI	CBD, GC
15B	Developed commercial use	C-2	GC
16A	Highway and commercial use (lodging)	C-2	GC
18B	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated
20A	Railroad	unzoned	Undesignated
22B	Railroad	unzoned	Undesignated
22G	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated
26C	Highway	unzoned	Undesignated

## **High Intensity—Resource Area (H-R) Environment**

### **Policies**

1. All of the policies listed above for High Intensity shoreline environments also apply in High Intensity—Resource environments.
2. As noted in the general regulations in Chapter 6, enhancement of ecological functions should be required for uses and activities in the High Intensity—Resource environment.

### Reaches Designated “H-R”

The reaches designated “H-R” support and are planned for various commercial and high-density residential uses. They are zoned General Commercial & Business (C-2), and Multi-Family Residential (R-3); and bear *Comprehensive Plan* designations of Central Business District (CBD), General Commercial (GC), and High-Density Residential (HDR).

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
9B	Commercial and residential use; wetlands	C-2	GC
12C	Developed commercial use; wetlands	R-3, C-2,	HDR, GC, CBD
26C	Commercial use (water-oriented, lodging); emergent vegetation	C-2	GC

### Shoreline Residential (SR) Environment

#### Policies

1. Opportunities for public access to shorelines and water bodies should be encouraged for all developments, including subdivisions, short subdivisions, planned unit developments, commercial uses, public services, and recreational uses, provided any adverse impacts can be mitigated.
2. Public and private recreational facilities and uses that are compatible with residential uses should be encouraged, provided that no net loss of shoreline ecological resources will result.

### Reaches Designated “SR”

The reaches designated “SR” support and are planned for residential uses of various densities. They are zoned for Single-Family Residential (R-1), Single & Two-Family Residential (R-2), and Multi-Family Residential (R-3) use; and bear *Comprehensive Plan* designations of Low-Density Residential (LDR), Medium-Density Residential (MDR), and High-Density Residential (HDR).

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
8A	Residential use with extensive docks and bulkheads	R-1	LDR
15A	Residential with extensive bulkheads	R-3	HDR
15C	Residential with extensive docks and bulkheads; minimal riparian tree cover and emergent vegetation	R-3	HDR
19A	Residential use with extensive docks and bulkheads	R-1, R-2	MDR, LDR
28	Residential uses with extensive docks and bulkheads	R-1	LDR

### Shoreline Residential—Resource Area (SR-R) Environment

#### Policies

1. All of the policies listed above for Shoreline Residential shoreline environments also apply in Shoreline Residential—Resource environments.
2. As noted in the general regulations in Chapter 6, maintenance of ecological functions should be required for uses and activities in the Shoreline Residential—Resource environment.

### Reaches Designated “SR-R”

Most of the reaches designated “SR-R” support and are planned for residential uses of various densities. Those in the City’s Urban Growth Area (UGA) are zoned Urban Residential 2 (UR-2), Urban Residential 3 (UR-3), and Urban Residential (UR-4); those within the City are zoned Single-Family Residential (R-1), Single & Two-Family Residential (R-2), and Multi-Family Residential (R-3). Both within the City and in the City’s UGA, those reaches bear *Comprehensive Plan* designations of Low-Density Residential (LDR), Medium-Density Residential (MDR), and High-Density Residential (HDR).

Two reaches, 1A and 4C, include land zoned for Light Industrial (ULI) or Urban Commercial 1 (UC-1) use, but designated for Low-Density Residential (LDR) development in the *Comprehensive Plan*. Similarly,



Reach 14B is land zoned for a combination of Multi-Family Residential (R-3) and Light Industrial (LI) use and designated for High-Density Residential (HDR) development in the *Comprehensive Plan*. Three reaches include land zoned and/or designated for commercial use. In all three cases, the SR-R shoreline environment designation appears appropriate due to the nature of the surrounding development.

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
1A	Zoned residential; riparian tree cover, steep slopes, largely unplatted and undeveloped	ULI	LDR
1C	Zoned residential; riparian tree cover, steep slopes	UR-3	LDR
2A	Residential use; riparian tree cover	UR-3	LDR
2C	Residential use; docks; riparian tree cover; emergent vegetation	UR-3	LDR
3A	Residential use; priority habitat	UR-3	LDR
3C	Residential use; docks; priority habitat; emergent vegetation; riparian tree cover	UR-3	LDR
4A	Residential use; docks; emergent vegetation; riparian tree cover	UR-3	LDR
4B	Planned for residential use, emergent vegetation		
4C	Residential use; docks; emergent vegetation	UR-3, UC-1	LDR
5A	Residential use; priority habitat	UC-1	GC
5C	Residential use; wetland and priority habitat	UR-4	MDR, GC
5E	Residential use	UR-4	MDR
6A	Residential use; priority habitat; riparian tree cover; emergent vegetation	UR-3	MDR, LDR
7	Residential use with docks; emergent vegetation	R-1	LDR
8B	Residential use with docks and bulkheads; riparian tree cover	R-1	LDR
14B	Platted for residential use; emergent vegetation	R-3, LI	HDR, Industrial
16B	Residential use with docks and bulkheads; emergent vegetation	R-1	LDR
17B	Residential and agriculture use; unplatted; emergent vegetation	R-1	LDR
18A	Residential use; emergent vegetation	R-1, R-3	LDR, HDR
19B	Residential use; wetlands and emergent vegetation	R-1, R-3	LDR, HDR
20B	Primarily residential use; priority habitats	R-2, R-3, P	HDR, MDR, PF
21C	Owned by HOA, used for access to adjacent residences; priority habitats	R-1	LDR
21D	Residential use; priority habitats	R-1	LDR
22A	Residential use; priority habitats	R-1	LDR
23	Residential use; priority habitats	UR-2	LDR
24B	Residential use; priority habitats	UR-2	LDR
26A	Residential uses; riparian tree cover; emergent vegetation; priority habitats	R-1, R-2, R-3, C-2	LDR, MDR, HDR, GC
29	Primarily residential use; riparian tree cover	R-1, UR-2	LDR
30	Residential uses; riparian tree cover; wetlands; emergent vegetation; priority habitats	UR-2	LDR
31	Planned for residential use; riparian tree cover	UR-2	LDR
32	Planned for residential use; riparian tree cover	UR-2	LDR

### **Shoreline Residential—Special Resource Area (SR-S) Environment**

#### **Policies**

1. All of the policies listed above for Shoreline Residential shoreline environments also apply in Shoreline Residential—Special Resource environments.

2. A conditional use permit should be required for any use or activity requiring a shoreline substantial development permit.
3. The following uses should not be allowed in Shoreline Residential—Special Resource environments: commercial activities, industrial activities, mining, agriculture, golf courses, non-water-oriented recreation, and roads and parking areas that can be located elsewhere.
4. As noted in the general regulations in Chapter 6, maintenance of ecological functions should be required for uses and activities in the Shoreline Residential—Special Resource environment.

#### **Reaches Designated “SR-S”**

The reaches designated “SR-S” include either relatively large tracts that have not been subdivided or large wetland areas, and are planned for residential uses of various densities. They are zoned for Single-Family Residential (R-1) and Multi-Family Residential (R-3) use (within the City) or Residential 2 (UR-2), Urban Residential 3 (UR-3), and Urban Residential (UR-4) use (in the City’s UGA); and bear *Comprehensive Plan* designations of Low-Density Residential (LDR), Medium-Density Residential (MDR), and High-Density Residential (HDR).

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
5B	Partially undeveloped; wetland and priority habitat <sup>1</sup>	UR-2, R-2	MDR
5D	Undeveloped and unplatted; priority habitat, wetlands	UR-4	MDR
21B	Relatively undeveloped; wetlands; priority habitats	R-1, R-3	HDR, LDR
10	Undeveloped and unplatted; priority habitat, wetlands	C-2	GC
21B	Largely undeveloped; priority habitat, wetlands	R-3	HDR
22C	Adjacent property has been platted, wetland delineated	R-1	LDR
24C	Undeveloped and unplatted; priority habitat, wetlands	UR-2	LDR

#### **Shoreline Residential—Dunes Area (SR-D) Environment**

##### **Policies**

1. All of the policies listed above for Shoreline Residential shoreline environments also apply in Shoreline Residential—Dunes environments.
2. A Planned Development Permit should be required for any use or activity in the Shoreline Residential—Dunes environment, with the exception of transportation facilities, which should be allowed with a Conditional Use Permit.
3. The following uses should not be allowed in Shoreline Residential—Dunes environments: commercial activities, industrial activities, mining, agriculture, municipal uses, golf courses, non-water-oriented recreation, and roads and parking areas that can be located elsewhere.
4. As noted in the general regulations in Chapter 6, maintenance of ecological functions should be required for uses and activities in the Shoreline Residential—Dunes environment.

#### **Reaches Designated “SR-D”**

The reaches designated “SR-D” are undeveloped tracts in a dunes ecosystem. They are relatively intact as regards ecological function, and are planned for low-density residential use. Both reaches are located in the City’s UGA; they are zoned for Urban Residential 3 (UR-3) use; and designated for Low-Density Residential (LDR) use in the *Comprehensive Plan*.

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
25	Undeveloped dunes; emergent vegetation; wetlands; riparian tree cover	UR-3	LDR

<sup>1</sup> 5B has since been platted into residential lots, and the wetland portion protected. See Willowcrest Major Plat. South of Scott Road is now inside city limits.

## **Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities (W) Environment**

### **Policies**

1. Preferred uses in the Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities environment are those that support visual and physical access to the water and shoreline while preserving, to the extent practical, the physical and biological resources of the area.
2. Water-oriented uses should be given priority over non-water-oriented uses.
3. Recreational activities that will not be detrimental to the shoreline character, scenic quality, or natural systems should be encouraged.
4. Agricultural, commercial, industrial, mining, and residential uses should be prohibited.
5. As noted in the general regulations in Chapter 6, maintenance of ecological functions should be required for uses and activities in the Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities environment.

### **Reaches Designated "W"**

Most of the reaches designated "W" are public parks; all are either zoned for Public use (P) or located in residential neighborhoods. The Comprehensive Plan designations vary. Most are designated as Public Facilities (PF) or Parks/Open Space (P/OS). Two are designated for Low-Density Residential use (LDR). In all cases, the public use is appropriate to its surroundings and reflects the value the community places on public parks, recreation, and open space.

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
2B	Park	UR-3	LDR
6B	Public park (Cascade Park)	P	P/OS
13A	Public park (Neppel Landing)	P	PF
15B	Public park (Marina Park)	R-3	P/OS
17A	Public park (Lower Peninsula Park & boat launch)	P	P/OS
20C	Public park (McCosh Park)	P	P/OS
22E	Public park (Montlake Park)	P	P/OS
24A	Park (Pelican Point)	UR-2	LDR
27	Public park (Blue Heron Park); riparian tree cover; emergent vegetation	P, R-1	P/OS

## **Natural (N) Environment**

### **Policies**

1. Physical alterations, including shoreline modifications should only be considered when they serve to protect or enhance a significant, unique, or highly valued feature that might otherwise be degraded or destroyed.
2. Limited access should be permitted for scientific, historical, cultural, educational, and low-intensity recreational purposes, provided that any significant adverse impact on the area will be mitigated.
3. A conditional use permit should be required for any use or activity.
4. The following uses should not be allowed in Natural environments: residential uses, commercial activities, industrial activities, mining, agriculture, non-water-oriented recreation, golf courses, utility corridors and roads and parking areas that can be located elsewhere.
5. Pre-existing uses, such as the railroad, that are not compatible with the environment designation, should be converted to a more compatible use, such as a public trail.

### **Critical Areas**

All uses and activities in shoreline environments designated "Natural" must be consistent with all applicable Critical Areas policies in Chapter 6.

### Reaches Designated “N”

The reaches designated “N” are all relatively intact as regards ecological function. Most are zoned Residential, although one is zoned Heavy Industrial (HI) and one is zoned Conservation & Reclamation. Comprehensive Plan designations include Low and High Density Residential (LDR, HDR), Parks/Open Space (P/OS), Environmentally Sensitive (ES), and Industrial. In all cases, the reaches have been designated Natural because they perform important, irreplaceable shoreline ecological functions.

Reach	Rationale	Zoning	Comp Plan Designation
11	Undeveloped; unplatted; wetlands; priority habitats	HI	Industrial
14A	Undeveloped; wetlands; emergent vegetation	R-3	HDR, P/OS
21A	Undeveloped; wetlands; priority habitats	R-3	HDR, P/OS, ES
22C	Undeveloped; wetlands; emergent vegetation	R-1	LDR
22F	Undeveloped; wetlands; emergent vegetation	R-1	LDR
22H	Undeveloped island; wetlands; emergent vegetation; priority habitats	C-R	ES

### Aquatic (A) Environment

#### Policies

1. Over-water structures should be allowed only for water-dependent uses, ecological restoration or public access. Structures that are not water-dependent should be prohibited.
2. Developments within the Aquatic Environment should be compatible with the adjoining upland environment.
3. Diverse public access opportunities to the water should be encouraged and developed and should be compatible with the existing shoreline and water uses and environment.
4. Aquaculture should be allowed in those areas most suitable for that use.
5. In appropriate areas, fishing and recreational use of the water should be protected against competing uses that would interfere with recreation.
6. All developments and activities using Moses Lake should be located and designed to minimize interference with surface navigation, to minimize adverse visual impacts, and to allow for the safe unobstructed passage of fish and animals, particularly those whose life cycles are dependent on such migration. Exceptions may be made for projects specifically designed to enhance or protect fish or wildlife or their habitat.
7. Abandoned and neglected structures that cause adverse visual impacts or are a hazard to public health, safety, or welfare should be removed or restored to a usable condition consistent with the provisions of this master program.
8. Activities that substantially degrade priority habitats should not be allowed. Where such activities are necessary to achieve the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act, RCW 90.58.020, their impacts should be mitigated to provide a net gain of critical ecological functions.
9. Shoreline modifications should be considered only when they serve to protect or enhance a significant, unique, or highly valued feature that might otherwise be degraded or destroyed.
10. The size of over-water structures should be limited to the minimum necessary to support the structure's intended use.
11. Multiple use of over-water facilities should be encouraged, to reduce the number of over-water structures required and thereby reduce the impacts of shoreline development and increase effective use of water resources.
12. Uses that adversely impact the ecological functions of critical freshwater habitats should not be allowed except where necessary to achieve the objectives of the Shoreline Management Act

(RCW 90.58.020), and then only when their impacts are mitigated according to the mitigation sequence as necessary to assure no net loss of ecological function.

### **City of Moses Lake Shoreline Environment Designation Map**

The *Shoreline Environment Designations* map in the City of Moses Lake's Shoreline Map Portfolio shows the areas under the jurisdiction of this Master Program and the boundaries of the City's nine shoreline environment designations. It also shows shoreline areas within the City's Urban Growth Area, which have been pre-designated. It shall be the official map of Shoreline Environment Designations. Any other copies, including copies that may be distributed either as part of this Shoreline Master program or separately, shall be unofficial.

### **Shoreline Uses, Activities, and Development Standards**

Chapters 7 and 8 of this Master Program establish policies and regulations for specific shoreline uses and activities. For each of those uses and activities, the Shoreline Use and Activity Chart that follows shows whether it is allowed (with a substantial development permit required for all except exempt uses); requires a conditional use permit; or is prohibited, in each of the shoreline environments.

Following the Shoreline Use Chart is a table of Shoreline Environment Requirements, which outlines the Development Standards for different uses and activities in each Shoreline Environment.



**TABLE 9.2**  
**SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT USE & ACTIVITY CHART**

All uses and activities, including those classified as "Allowed" ("P") in the table below and including those considered exempt, must comply with all provisions of this Shoreline Master Program (SMP), including the General Regulations in Chapter 7. Uses and activities not listed in the Shoreline Environment Use and Activity Chart may be allowed, subject to approval by the Shoreline Administrator, if they comply with the standards in this section and with any special regulations that apply to similar uses.

**Legend**

H= High Intensity

H-R = High Intensity—Resource Area

SR = Shoreline Residential

SR-D = Shoreline Residential—Dunes Area

SR-R = Shoreline Residential—Resource Area

SR-S = Shoreline Residential—Special Resource Area

W = Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities

N = Natural

A = Aquatic

P = Allowed use; Substantial Development Permit required unless use is exempt

CUP = Shoreline Conditional Use Permit required

X = Prohibited use

PD = Planned Development Permit required

S = Same as in adjacent environment shoreward of the OHWM

N/A= Not Applicable

	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
Agriculture (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	NA
Aquaculture (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	CUP
Boating facilities (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)									
rail-type boat launch systems	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	X	X	S
Boat houses [prohibited by WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)A]	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Boat launch ramps, community and public	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	CUP	X	S
Boat launch ramps, private	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	S
Boat lifts, private	CUP	CUP	P	P	P	PD	CUP	X	S
Marinas	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	CUP	X	S
Floating homes, houseboats, and liveaboards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
Commercial uses (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)									
Water dependent	P	CUP	P	CUP	X	X	X	X	S
Water related & water-enjoyment	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	X	X	X
Other (not water-oriented)	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	X	X	X	X
Docks <sup>2</sup>									
Joint-use community recreational docks	P	P	P	P	P	PD	P	X	S
Private residential docks	X	X	P	P	P	PD	X	X	S
Commercial docks	P	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	X	X	S
Industrial uses	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Mining (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	CUP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Municipal use (other than recreation, transportation, or utility systems) (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	P	X	CUP	X	X	X	P	CUP	S
Parking—primary (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Parking—serving a permitted use other than a single-family residential use (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	P	P	P	P	CUP	PD	P	X	X
Parking—serving a single-family residential use (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	P	P	P	P	P	PD	P	X	X
Public access (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	P	P	P	P	CUP	PD	P	CUP	S
Recreation (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)									
Water dependent	P	P	P	P	CUP	PD	P	CUP	CUP
Water related	P	CUP	P	CUP	CUP	PD	P	CUP	CUP
Water enjoyment	P	CUP	P	CUP	CUP	PD	P	CUP	CUP
Golf courses	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Other (not water-oriented)	P	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	X	X	X
Residential uses (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	P	P	P	P	P	PD	X	X	X
Residential subdivision (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	P	CUP	P	P	P	PD	X	X	X
Retaining walls for purposes other than shoreline stabilization (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	X	X	P	P	P	X	X	X	X
Shoreline modifications (subject to regulations in Chapter 8)									
Dredging	CUP	X	CUP	X	X	X	CUP	X	CUP
Dredge material disposal	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	CUP	CUP	CUP
Filling	P	CUP	P	CUP	CUP	X	CUP	CUP	CUP

<sup>2</sup> Docks will only be allowed in accordance with all applicable provisions of this SMP, including critical areas provisions and the specific use regulations that apply to docks.

	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
<i>Shoreline modifications, continued</i>									
Shoreline stabilization									
Structural stabilization, other than bulkheads <sup>3</sup>	P	CUP	P	CUP	CUP	PD	P	X	X
Bulkheads <sup>4</sup>	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP
Vegetative stabilization	P	CUP	P	P	CUP	PD	P	CUP <sup>5</sup>	CUP
Flood protection facilities	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Signs (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)									
Highway and public information	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Off-premises outdoor advertising, and temporary	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
On premises	P	P	P	P	CUP	PD	P	X	X
Solid waste disposal	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Stormwater management facilities (primary) <sup>6</sup>	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	CUP	X	X
Transportation facilities (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)	P	CUP	P	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP	CUP
Utilities (primary; not associated with a use allowed under the provisions of this SMP) (subject to regulations in Chapter 7)									
Water-oriented	P	CUP	CUP	CUP	X	X	CUP	X	CUP
Non-water-oriented	CUP	X	CUP	X	X	X	CUP	X	CUP

<sup>3</sup> Structural shoreline stabilization will only be allowed in accordance with all applicable provisions of this SMP, including, in the case on non-water-dependent uses, the requirement to demonstrate through a geotechnical report the need to protect the use.

<sup>4</sup> Bulkheads may be allowed with a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit where the need has been documented by a geotechnical analysis. See Bulkhead regulations in Chapter 8. While existing single-family residences are exempt from the requirement to obtain a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit in order to construct a normal protective bulkhead, they must comply with all provisions of this SMP.

<sup>5</sup> On sites previously disturbed, when accompanied by a habitat restoration and mitigation management plan.

<sup>6</sup> See "Environmental Impacts and Water Quality" in Chapter 6 for policies and regulations related to stormwater management.

**TABLE 9.3**  
**SHORELINE ENVIRONMENT REQUIREMENTS: DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND SPECIFIC SHORELINE DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS**

All uses and activities, including those considered exempt, must comply with all provisions of this Shoreline Master Program (SMP), including the General Regulations in Chapter 7. Uses and activities not listed in the Shoreline Environment Requirements Chart may be allowed, subject to approval by the Shoreline Administrator, if they comply with the standards in this section and with any special regulations that apply to similar uses.

Shoreline buffers are in feet, from the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM). All uses with 0' buffer must comply with all provisions of this Shoreline Master Program, including any development standards specific to the use. Other regulations, such as wetland buffers, may require a larger buffer than is noted in this table

Where height limits are different from those specified in the Moses Lake Municipal Code, the more stringent requirement (i.e., the lower height limit) shall apply. Height is measured from the average finished grade around the structure to the highest point of the structure.

Legend

H= High Intensity  
H-R = High Intensity—Resource Area  
SR = Shoreline Residential  
SR-D = Shoreline Residential—Dunes Area  
SR-R = Shoreline Residential—Resource Area  
SR-S = Shoreline Residential—Special Resource Area  
W = Water-Oriented Parks and Public Facilities  
N = Natural  
A = Aquatic  
  
PD = Planned Development Permit required  
N/A= Not Applicable

	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
Agriculture <sup>7</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Aquaculture									
Water-dependent structure and facility buffer	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	NA	NA	NA	0'
Water-related structure and facility buffer	25'	50'	25'	50'	150'	NA	NA	NA	NA
Height limit	35'	25'	35'	25'	15'	NA	NA	NA	10'

<sup>7</sup> New agricultural uses are prohibited in areas of shoreline jurisdiction

	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
Boating facilities (boat lifts, boat launch ramps, and marinas [whether commercial, private, or municipal])									
Water-dependent buffer	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	NA	0'
Height limits									
Over-water structures	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15'
0-100 feet from OHWM	35'	25'	25'	25'	15'	15'	15'	NA	NA
>100 feet from OHWM	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'	35'	NA	NA
Commercial development—water dependent									
Water-dependent buffer	0'	0'	0'	0'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Water-related and water-enjoyment buffer	50'	50'	50'	50'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Non-water-oriented buffer	50'	150'	75'	150'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Building height limit	35'	35'	35'	35'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Docks: Dimensional standards are found in the Docks section of Ch. 7									
Industrial development (prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mining and related facilities buffer	100'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Parking—primary (prohibited in shoreline jurisdiction)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Parking—serving a permitted use <sup>8</sup>	50'	75'	75'	100'	125'	150'	150'	150'	NA
Municipal use (other than recreation, transportation, or utility systems)									
Water-dependent buffer	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	NA	0'	0'	0'
Water-related and water-enjoyment buffer	25'	50'	50'	50'	75'	NA	50'	50'	NA
Non-water-oriented buffer	50'	75'	75'	75'	100'	NA	75'	100'	NA
Building height limit	35'	25'	35'	25'	15'	NA	35'	25'	15'
Recreation									
Buffers									
Non-water-oriented uses	100'	150'	100'	150'	NA	NA	150'	NA	NA
Water-oriented uses	35'	35'	35'	35'	NA	NA	35'	NA	NA
Water-dependent uses	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'	0'
play fields, and other intensive use areas	100'	150'	100'	150'	NA	NA	100'	NA	NA
Recreational paths and trails (non-motorized)	10'	10'	10'	10'	15'	25'	10'	25'	NA
Height limit	35'	15'	25'	15'	15'	15'	15'	NA	15'
Maximum site coverage (percent) <sup>9</sup>	40	20	40	20	10	10	20	10	NA

<sup>8</sup> Parking facilities shall be set back landward of the principal building being served a minimum of twenty-five feet or the required building setback, whichever is greater (see Chapter 6, General Policies and Regulations)

<sup>9</sup> Includes all impervious surfaces



	H	H-R	SR	SR-R	SR-S	SR-D	W	N	A
Residential uses <sup>10</sup>									
Buffer—all dwelling units, and non-water-dependent accessory structures	25'	25'	25' 50' or 100' <sup>11</sup>	25'	25'	PD	NA	NA	NA
Height limit	35'	35'	35'	35'	25'	25'	NA	NA	NA
Maximum site coverage (percent) <sup>12</sup>	60	50	50	50	25	25	NA	NA	NA
Maximum density (dwelling units per acre)	15	10	10	6	4	4	NA	NA	NA
Retaining walls for purposes other than shoreline stabilization—setback (subject to regulations in Chapter 6)	NA	NA	20'	30'	100'	NA	NA	NA	NA
Signs (on premises)									
Maximum height (in feet)	12	6	12	6	6	6	6	6	NA
Maximum surface area (in square feet)	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	NA
Setback	20'	50	25	50	150	150	20'	NA	NA
Solid waste disposal <sup>13</sup>	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Transportation facility setbacks									
Arterials, highways, and railroads (excluding water crossings)	100'	125'	100'	125'	150'	150'	150'	150'	NA
Non-arterial, secondary, and access roads	50'	75'	75'	100'	100'	100'	100'	100'	NA
Utilities (primary; not associated with a use allowed under the provisions of this SMP)									
Setbacks for buildings, storage tanks, accessory uses, and distribution lines (excluding water crossings)	50'	100'	50'	100'	NA	NA	100'	NA	NA
Height limits									
Buildings, storage tanks, and accessory uses	35'	25'	35'	15'	NA	NA	15'	NA	NA
Distribution poles	35'	35'	35'	35'	NA	NA	35'	NA	NA

<sup>10</sup> Common line setback may be allowed where the majority of existing development in an area does not meet the established setback standards, as provided in the Residential Use regulations in Chapter 7. Other provisions may also apply; see Chapter 7.

<sup>11</sup> See Environment Designation map for buffer width at the specific location.

<sup>12</sup> Includes all impervious surfaces

<sup>13</sup> Solid waste disposal is prohibited in areas of shoreline jurisdiction

**Planning Commission DRAFT—12-26-13**  
**Chapter 11**  
**Shoreline Protection and Restoration**

**Introduction**

The City of Moses Lake's *Comprehensive Plan* includes a Vision Statement that addresses many facets of community life—social, economic, and land use components are all included. Among other things, the vision statement describes Moses Lake as “A progressive city that recognizes how the natural environment enhances the quality of life and the need to preserve and maintain environmentally sensitive areas.” This Vision Statement 2015 was created by a Citizen Advisory Committee in 1995 to describe a potential City of Moses Lake in the year 2015. This was done by obtaining citizen input and then translating individual concerns and ideas into a collective vision, interwoven with the underlying common goals of the citizenry.

The Vision Statement 2015 includes a specific vision for the environment which says “Environment is the sum of all external conditions and influences affecting the life, development, and ultimately the survival of an organism; we must protect the environment....In the year 2015...Residents have become dedicated to preserving the environment in its natural state by developing ways for humanity to live harmoniously with nature without further degradation.”

The *Comprehensive Plan* goes on to list Environment Goals, including:

- Promote the restoration of Moses Lake to a healthy state that supports natural habitat while providing recreational benefits to the community.
- Acknowledge the integral role of the natural environment to our quality of life.
- Increase public access to the lake.

The plan articulates other goals, as well—in the realms of land use, tourism, economic development, community values and character, and other matters that are important to the citizens of Moses Lake. It is clear that protection of the natural environment and the restoration of Moses Lake are important to the people of the city—and equally important that they must be undertaken in the context of a larger, complex vision.

The vision and goals articulated in the City's *Comprehensive Plan*, along with the findings of the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*, served as guidance for the objectives, strategies, actions, prioritization framework, and evaluation criteria in this protection and restoration plan. The City's shoreline restoration objectives are listed in the next section of the plan; the relationship between the objectives and the other parts of the plan is explained later in this section.

**Restoration Planning Requirements**

Washington State's shoreline master program guidelines<sup>1</sup> require that each local government (city or county) include within its shoreline master program a “real and meaningful” strategy to address restoration of shorelines. The guidelines make “planning for and fostering restoration” an obligation of local government. They say, in part:

It is intended that local government, through the master program, along with other regulatory and nonregulatory programs, contribute to restoration by planning for and fostering restoration and that such restoration occur through a combination of public and private programs and actions. Local government should identify restoration opportunities through the shoreline inventory process and authorize, coordinate and facilitate appropriate publicly and privately initiated restoration projects within their master programs. The goal of this effort is master programs which include planning elements that, when implemented, serve to improve the overall condition of habitat and resources within the shoreline area of each city and county.<sup>2</sup>

Restoration means re-establishing or upgrading impaired shoreline ecological functions. Shoreline ecological functions are the work done or the role played by the various physical, chemical, and biological

---

<sup>1</sup> Chapter 173.26 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC)

<sup>2</sup> WAC 173.26.201(2)(c)

components of the shoreline ecosystem, such as filtering sediment and other pollutants and providing habitat for wildlife. Restoration includes a number of mechanisms—both structural ones, such as re-vegetation and removal of intrusive shoreline structures, and non-structural ones such as development standards that decrease erosion and protect shoreline vegetation.

The restoration planning that must be completed during the process of amending a shoreline master program is not intended to directly mitigate past or future development impacts on the City's shorelines. It is intended to guide restoration that will improve overall environmental conditions as they exist at the time of the shoreline inventory. Restoration does not imply a requirement to return the shoreline area to aboriginal or pre-European settlement conditions.

### How this plan is organized

The shoreline master program guidelines include six restoration planning steps. The State's intent is that, by completing the six steps, the City will create a framework for restoring ecological functions that have been impaired as a result of past development of the shoreline. The table below lists the six steps, and will also tell you how and where each one has been addressed.

**Table 1—Restoration Planning Steps**

<b>Restoration planning steps</b>	<b>How and where each step is addressed</b>
Identify degraded areas, impaired ecological functions, and sites with potential for restoration	Degraded areas and impaired ecological functions are identified in the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i> . Sites with potential for restoration are identified on the accompanying Restoration Potential map. They are included in the table of Restoration Opportunities below.
Establish overall goals and priorities for restoration of degraded areas and impaired ecological functions	<p>The restoration goal was drawn from the City of Moses Lake's <i>Comprehensive Plan</i>. (This restoration plan also includes objectives that are more specific about how the goal is to be achieved. Those objectives were drawn from the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i>.) Both goal and objectives are detailed in the "Restoration Goal and Objectives" section of this restoration plan.</p> <p>The plan also includes restoration strategies and actions, and prioritization criteria that reflect the City's interests and will give the city a basis for deciding which actions to undertake or to support others in undertaking. The strategies and actions are listed in the "Restoration Opportunities" section of the plan. The criteria are listed in the section of the plan that addresses "The City's Role."</p>
Identify existing and ongoing projects and programs that are currently being implemented, or are reasonably assured of being implemented (based on an evaluation of funding likely in the foreseeable future), which are designed to contribute to local restoration goals	The "Existing and Ongoing Programs" section of this plan includes things the City is already doing that contribute to restoration, or that could be modified so that they would contribute to restoration. It also includes programs like watershed planning and TMDL planning that address ecological functions in Moses Lake. It is based on an inventory of ongoing projects and programs.
Identify additional projects and programs needed to achieve local restoration goals, and implementation strategies including identifying prospective funding sources for those projects and programs	This restoration plan includes new projects and programs that could be initiated to contribute to restoration; existing projects and programs that may be able to contribute to restoration; organizations that may undertake, participate in, or contribute to restoration projects; and sources of funding for restoration. All are listed under the heading "Restoration Resources."
Identify timelines and benchmarks for implementing restoration projects and programs and achieving local restoration goals	The section of this plan headed "Benchmarks and Timelines" includes general timelines for achieving the City's restoration goal over a period of 50-60 years. It also includes benchmarks by which the City can measure progress toward each of its objectives by assessing the number of actions that have been completed and the effects of those actions.
Provide for mechanisms or strategies to ensure that restoration projects and programs will be implemented according to plans and to appropriately review the effectiveness of the projects and programs in meeting the overall restoration goals	The section of this plan on "The City's Role" discusses project evaluation, monitoring, and adaptive management—tools for selecting projects, assessing their effects, and adapting the restoration plan to meet changing needs, conditions, and resources and to respond to new information.

This plan lists restoration objectives, strategies, and actions, and potential restoration sites.

- The goal describes a condition the City wants to achieve
- An objective clarifies the actions needed to achieve the City's goal. This plan includes *protection* and *restoration* objectives
- A strategy is one possible means of achieving an objective
- Actions are specific steps that the City or others can take to implement the strategies in the plan

The objectives, strategies, and actions in this plan are based on the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*, which identifies three management issues and a number of measures to protect and restore ecosystem-wide processes. The management issues identified in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization* are:

- Alterations to hydrology
- Water quality and sediment
- Riparian and wetland habitat

The six objectives address protection and restoration as they relate to each of those issues. Many of the plan's strategies and actions are drawn from the management measures listed in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*. Others have been added where more detail was needed to specify how the management measures would be put into effect, or as a means of implementing policies in other parts of the SMP.

The map portfolio that is part of the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization* includes a map of restoration opportunities—sites at which some of the actions in this plan could be taken. Many of those sites are on public land or involve city infrastructure. For instance, several storm sewer outfalls have been identified for retrofitting to reduce pollution of the lake. Other sites are on private land. Generally, actions on private land will be voluntary. In some cases, the City may require restoration as a condition of development. Restoration actions will never be required for development of an individual single-family residence, or on land that has already been developed.

#### Adaptive Management

This plan is based on the principle of Adaptive Management—that is, adapting strategies and actions in response to analysis of data gathered from ongoing monitoring of restoration projects and activities. The list of restoration opportunities in the plan is not exhaustive, and it can be expected to evolve over time as the City evaluates the results of completed projects and in response to opportunities and resources available.



## Restoration Goal and Objectives

The goal of this protection and restoration plan is drawn directly from the City of Moses Lake's *Comprehensive Plan*: "Promote the restoration of Moses Lake to a healthy state that supports natural habitat while providing recreational benefits to the community."

The health of the lake depends in part on the health of the shoreline. Shoreline ecological functions affect water quality, hydrology (the movement of water throughout the watershed), and fish, bird, and wildlife habitat. Each of those things is important to the overall health of the lake, and also affects the community.

- Water quality affects fish and wildlife and their habitat, recreational use of the lake, and human health—water pollution can reach the aquifer, which is a source of drinking water
- Hydrology affects the availability of water for irrigation (landscape and agricultural), drinking, and recreation
- Habitat provides opportunities for recreation, including economic generators like hunting, fishing, and bird watching

By promoting and supporting restoration of shoreline areas, the City will be taking steps toward restoring the health of the lake as a whole.

The table below shows how the six objectives of the plan relate to the management issues identified in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*.

**Table 2—Restoration Objectives**

Management Issue	Objective
Alterations to hydrology	Protect hydrologic processes from further degradation
	Restore altered hydrologic processes
Water quality and sediment	Protect water quality, native plant communities, and fish and wildlife habitat.
	Restore water quality, native plant communities, and fish and wildlife habitat.
Riparian and wetland habitat	Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors
	Restore riparian habitat and migration corridors

Strategies, actions, and potential restoration sites related to each objective are tabulated in the Restoration Opportunities section that follows.

## Restoration Opportunities

The table below lists the City's six restoration objectives and outlines strategies and actions for each one. It also states where each action may be applied, including target reaches, where those have been identified in the *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization*. As noted in the paragraph on Adaptive Management above, the list of strategies and actions can be expected to evolve as projects are completed and their results evaluated, and as new opportunities arise. See Restoration Potential map in *Shoreline Inventory and Characterization* map portfolio

Objective	Strategy	Action	Target reaches*
Protect hydrologic processes from further degradation	Coordinate lake management with other jurisdictions, agencies, and irrigation districts, including the Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District	Initial steps will depend on the City's existing relationships; see "Regional Coordination" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below	Ecosystem-wide
Protect water quality	Mitigate effects of upland sources of pollutants	Protect wetlands and riparian vegetation within shoreline areas to mitigate effects of upland sources. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection within the City</li> <li>• Use education to influence landowner decisions in the City and the UGA. See "Education Programs" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below</li> <li>• Use development regulations to eliminate or minimize runoff from upland areas, especially in high soil erosion areas with limited vegetation</li> </ul>	City-wide (education may also be undertaken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Provide education on fertilizer and pesticide impacts for shoreline residents	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Slow runoff from construction sites with proper erosion controls <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection within the City's shoreline areas</li> <li>• Use development regulations to eliminate or minimize runoff from construction sites outside shoreline areas, especially in high soil erosion areas with limited vegetation</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers about runoff management</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to decrease construction runoff in the City's UGA</li> <li>• Continue to implement NPDES Phase II Stormwater Regulations as they are modified</li> </ul>	22, 30 City-wide; throughout the UGA; education may also be undertaken within the City and also throughout the UGA, if the City chooses

Protect water quality (continued)	Mitigate effects of upland sources of pollutants (continued)	Avoid development on hydric or highly erodible soils (word as an action) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection within the City</li> <li>• Use development regulations to protect vulnerable soils outside shoreline areas</li> <li>• Work with the NRCS to educate landowners and developers about soils that are vulnerable to erosion</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect soils in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
	Mitigate stormwater flows	Use development standards to mitigate stormwater flows <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to implement the Department of Ecology's <i>Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington</i></li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers about stormwater management and the reasons for the development standards</li> </ul>	City-wide; education can also be undertaken within the UGA, if the City chooses
		Shoreline setbacks will provide some protection within the City	City-wide
	Coordinate water quality management with neighboring jurisdictions	Identify neighboring jurisdictions for coordination of water quality management plans; see "Regional Coordination" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below	Ecosystem-wide
	Protect vegetative cover on areas prone to high soil erosion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use development regulations to protect vegetative cover on areas prone to high soil erosion outside shoreline areas</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers about protecting vegetative cover on areas prone to high soil erosion</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect vegetative cover in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	23 Education and working with Grant County have the potential to be effective throughout the City and its UGA

Protect water quality ( <i>continued</i> )	Maintain the natural value of wetlands to control and filter storm water runoff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Strictly enforce the City's CAO and SMP</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers about wetland functions, values, and protection</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect wetlands and their buffers in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors	Regulate new development to ensure protection of riparian habitat and migration corridors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations, including buffer and setback requirements, will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners about riparian habitat and migration corridors and their protection to improve protection of already-developed areas. See "Education Programs" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect riparian habitat and migration corridors in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
	Protect riparian, emergent, aquatic, and wetland vegetation within SMP jurisdiction to mitigate effects of upland nonpoint pollution sources	<p>Protect shoreline and aquatic vegetation near docks, residential areas, and public access areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners and the general public (including out-of-town recreational users) about the functions of shoreline and aquatic vegetation and how to protect it; and about aquatic weeds and how to prevent their spread. See "Education Programs" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect shoreline and aquatic vegetation in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	2-4, 6, 8, 15-17, 19, 22-24, 26, 27, 29 City-wide and throughout the UGA

Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors (continued)	Protect riparian, emergent, aquatic, and wetland vegetation within SMP jurisdiction to mitigate effects of upland nonpoint pollution sources (continued)	<p>Protect vegetative buffer on residential and agricultural land</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate residential landowners about the functions of shoreline vegetation and how to protect it. See "Education Programs" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below for ideas</li> <li>• Educate owners and managers of agricultural land about the functions of shoreline vegetation and how to protect it. See "Education Programs" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect vegetative buffers on developed and developing residential land in the City's UGA</li> <li>• Work with Grant County, NRCS, conservation district to protect vegetative buffers on agricultural land throughout the subbasin</li> <li>• Develop an incentive program to encourage protection of vegetative buffers on agricultural land throughout the subbasin, perhaps in partnership with other organizations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1, 2, 3, 15, 21, 26, 29, 30</li> <li>• Throughout the City, the UGA, and the subbasin</li> </ul>
		Work with conservation and irrigation districts, including the Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District, to implement recognized Best Management Practices along riparian areas throughout the subbasin	Ecosystem-wide
		<p>Protect existing wetlands from encroachment by light industrial development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations prohibiting new industrial development will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Use education and outreach to prevent encroachment by existing light industrial developments in the City and its UGA</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to prevent encroachment on wetlands on developed and developing land in the City's UGA</li> </ul>	12, 13 City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)



Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors ( <i>continued</i> )	Protect riparian, emergent, aquatic, and wetland vegetation within SMP jurisdiction to mitigate effects of upland nonpoint pollution sources ( <i>continued</i> )	Protect existing wetlands from encroachment by residential development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners about wetlands and how to protect them. See “Education Programs” below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect wetlands on developed and developing land in the City’s UGA</li> </ul>	2, 4-6, 9-11, 14, 21, 22, 24-28, 30 City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Protect existing wetlands from encroachment by recreational development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection in shoreline areas within the City.</li> <li>• Educate landowners about wetlands and how to protect them. See “Education Programs” under the heading “Restoration Resources” below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect wetlands on developed and developing land in the City’s UGA</li> </ul>	30 City-wide (some steps may also be taken within the UGA, if the City chooses)
	Protect important habitat areas	Protect priority habitat identified by WDFW <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Work in partnership with WDFW &amp; other resource agencies (see restoration resources, below) to educate landowners and developers in the City and the UGA</li> <li>• Communicate with WDFW about new priority habitat areas, priority habitat issues, etc.</li> </ul>	1-6, 10, 16, 17, 20-22, 26-29 Throughout the City, the UGA, and the ecosystem
		Protect spawning and rearing habitat for fish and wildlife <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide some protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Work in partnership with WDFW &amp; other resource agencies (see restoration resources, below) to educate landowners and developers in the City and the UGA</li> </ul>	14

Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors (continued)	Protect important habitat areas (continued)	Protect vegetation and habitat in dune areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Study dune ecosystem to provide a scientific basis for regulating uses in the dunes area.</li> <li>• The SMP policies and regulations for the “Shoreline Residential—Dunes” environment provide a mechanism for working creatively to protect the area while allowing reasonable use</li> <li>• Work in partnership with recreation user groups, WDFW, &amp; other resource agencies (see restoration resources, below) to educate landowners and developers in the City and the UGA</li> </ul>	25
	Limit hardening of shoreline structures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers throughout the City and the UGA about shoreline stabilization. See “Education Programs” under the heading “Restoration Resources” below for ideas</li> </ul>	City-wide and throughout the UGA
	Limit increase in the number of bulkheads on the shoreline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers throughout the UGA about shoreline stabilization. See “Education Programs” under the heading “Restoration Resources” below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to limit new bulkheads in the City’s UGA</li> </ul>	1-6, 8, 15, 16, 18, 19, 26, 28-30 Throughout the City and the UGA
	Maintain the biological and physical functions and values of wetlands	Provide for reasonable buffers around wetlands in order to provide a local habitat for wetland plant and animal communities, and to reduce or minimize intrusions from humans and domestic animals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SMP regulations will provide protection in shoreline areas within the City</li> <li>• Educate landowners and developers throughout the City and the UGA about wetland functions and values. See “Education Programs” under the heading “Restoration Resources” below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County officials to protect wetlands in the City’s UGA</li> <li>• Educate owners and managers of agricultural land about wetland functions and values and how to protect them. See “Education Programs” under the heading “Restoration Resources” below for ideas</li> <li>• Work with Grant County, NRCS, conservation district to protect wetland functions and values on agricultural land throughout the subbasin</li> </ul>	Throughout the City and the UGA

Protect riparian habitat and migration corridors (continued)	Maintain the biological and physical functions and values of wetlands (continued)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop an incentive program to encourage protection of wetlands on agricultural land throughout the subbasin, perhaps in partnership with other organizations</li> <li>• Encourage good stewardship by all residents and users of shoreline areas</li> </ul>	Throughout the City and the UGA
Restore altered hydrologic processes	Work with Bureau of Reclamation and the Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District to alter dam and irrigation operations, such as timing drawdown to limit impacts to aquatic vegetation	Initial steps will depend on the City's existing relationships; see "Regional Coordination" under the heading "Restoration Resources" below	Ecosystem-wide
Restore water quality	Reduce impacts of stormwater runoff on water quality throughout the subbasin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highlight locations for most effective stormwater retrofitting</li> <li>• Retrofit storm sewer outfalls to limit pollution loading to the lake</li> </ul>	8 (2 sites); 9 (1 site); 12 (1 site); 13 (2 sites); 15 (1 site); 16 (4 sites); 19 (5 sites); 20 (3 sites); 21 (3 sites); 26 (6 sites); 28 (1 site)
	Reduce/prevent runoff from parking areas	Develop vegetative buffers around parking areas on public land, as well as direct overland flow away from lake	City-wide
		On public land, move parking areas out of the SMP jurisdiction or set them back from the shoreline or convert to pervious paving	6 (Cascade Park), 17 (Lower Peninsula Boat Launch), 22 (Montlake Park)

Restore water quality (continued)	Reduce/prevent runoff from parking areas (continued)	Provide incentives for landowners to develop vegetative buffers around parking areas, as well as direct overland flow away from lake, on sites already developed. Initial steps could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secure funding and program sponsor (unless city is to sponsor/manage the program)</li> <li>• Develop educational materials; communicate with landowners</li> </ul>	14, 15, 24
	Reduce impacts of agriculture and development on water quality	Work with conservation districts and irrigation districts, including the Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District, to institute BMPs for agriculture, including efficient use of irrigation water and fertilizer, control of animal waste and sediment, as well as livestock fencing along riparian areas	Throughout the City, the UGA, and the subbasin
		Develop public education programs to reduce fertilizer use on residential land near the shoreline	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Use education and incentives to encourage restoration of vegetative buffers on developed parcels and in agricultural areas. Initial steps could include: secure funding and program sponsor (unless city is to sponsor/manage the program), develop educational materials; communicate with landowners	1-4, 6, 7, 9, 15, 19-21, 23, 26, 28, 29
		Restore vegetative cover and riparian buffer on areas prone to high soil erosion. Initial steps could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify target parcels</li> <li>• Develop an incentive program</li> <li>• Work with NRCS, conservation district, WDFW, or other entities to secure funding and program sponsor (unless city is to sponsor/manage the program)</li> <li>• Develop educational materials</li> <li>• Communicate with landowners. Distribute materials; assess willingness to participate</li> </ul>	23

Restore riparian habitat and migration corridors	Restore native terrestrial and emergent vegetation in shoreline areas	Develop a demonstration project on public lands using “soft” structural stabilization, vegetative stabilization, or a combination of an upland retaining wall with vegetation restoration	Is there a site that might work well? None of the sites identified in the inventory and characterization is on public land. Are there any bulkheads on public land?
		Where landowners are interested, replace bulkheads and other shore protection structures with bioengineered (biotechnical or biostructural) stabilization, or upland retaining walls and riparian and emergent vegetation; and restore terrestrial and emergent vegetation. Initial steps could include: develop educational materials; assess landowner willingness—maybe find a champion; secure funding and leadership/sponsorship for project; develop and implement a pilot project	1-8, 15, 16, 18, 19, 26, 28-30
		Restore riparian and emergent vegetation on publicly owned land	Cascade Park (Reach 6)
		On publicly owned land, manage areas of emergent vegetation to support healthy ecological processes and functions	Cascade Park (Reach 6)
		Provide public access at the railroad grade in Neppel Park and restore emergent vegetation and vegetative buffer	Neppel Park (Reach 13)
		Educate landowners about shoreline vegetation restoration	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Encourage landowners to restore shoreline vegetation	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)
	Enhance wetlands to increase biological and physical functions and values	Educate landowners about wetlands enhancement	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)
		Encourage landowners to enhance wetlands	City-wide (and within the UGA, if the City chooses)

## **Existing and Ongoing Programs**

### **Restoration Resources**

**Potential mechanisms** for actions that are not currently being implemented or for which funding is not anticipated.

#### **Regional Coordination**

Some of the City's restoration objectives depend on coordination with other entities. Others can be furthered by coordination, and will achieve much better results than if protection and restoration efforts are limited to shoreline areas within the City. The city can foster shoreline ecological function by building relationships, and exploring opportunities for coordination, with governments and other agencies involved in land and water management, including:

- Moses Lake Conservation District
- Grant Conservation District
- Irrigation Districts, including the Moses Lake Irrigation and Rehabilitation District
- Grant County
- The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
- The Washington Department of Ecology
- Washington State University's Grant and Adams Counties Extension
- The Bureau of Reclamation
- The Natural Resource Conservation Service
- The Upper Crab Creek/Wilson Creek Watershed Planning Unit

Where working relationships are not already in place, establishing them as soon as possible will lay the groundwork for joint planning, and is likely to be more effective than seeking to enlist support at the stage of implementation.

Possibilities include:

- Convening a forum to discuss the existing situation and each party's needs and interests
- Meeting individually with representatives of other organizations interested in lake management
- Working through channels that have already been established

Some of the agencies listed above offer technical and funding resources that may be available to supports shoreline protection and restoration. Coordination with those agencies will help City staff understand what resources are available and plan projects to take best advantage of them—including working effectively with funding cycles.

#### **Education Programs**

Education programs offer effective means of contacting large numbers of people and encouraging voluntary action, as well as informing members of the public of local, state, and federal regulations and their responsibilities as landowners and resource users. Possibilities include:

- Develop a Good Neighbor Handbook; distribute to all shoreline landowners; work with Real Estate agents, Audubon, Master Gardeners to distribute; mail to all purchasers of shoreline property
- Educate Master Gardeners
- Hold shoreline landscaping classes—to teach landowners how to minimize runoff and delivery of pollutants to the lake, minimize chemical use, use any chemicals correctly, work with existing vegetation, enhance shoreline resources, protect and enhance habitat, watch wildlife without disturbance, etc.
- Develop brochures; distribute as part of the development process and through other channels—garden centers, cooperative extension, etc.
- Use the City's web site to link residents to information about shoreline issues such as vegetation conservation
- Place interpretive signs at public access areas
- Develop a display and exhibit it at City Hall, the County Fair, local home shows, and other venues.



- Develop educational materials about shoreline stabilization methods; distribute as part of the development process
- Work with the Conservation District to hold a shoreline stabilization seminar, and perhaps a tour of bulkhead alternatives, for developers and interested landowners.
- Develop educational materials about important fish species, their habitat, and how to protect them; distribute at fishing days, Cast for Kids, bait and tackle dealers, etc.
- Distribute the Department of Ecology's "Lake-Friendly Landscaping" focus sheet, and place a link to it on the City's web site

### **Parks Management**

The City will develop a parks management plan that details strategies and actions for improving the ecological function of shorelines in the City's parks. Choices about design (particularly where people will access the water for boating, swimming, etc.), plant materials, planting methods, and maintenance can all be tailored to support both recreation and shoreline objectives.

### **Capital Facilities Program**

The City can further a number of its objectives by planning and implementing public works projects. Amending the Capital Facilities element of the City's *Comprehensive Plan* will provide a mechanism for prioritizing and funding certain restoration strategies. Actions to consider in amending the element include:

- Retrofit storm sewer outfalls to limit pollution loading to the lake (Municipal Facilities section)
- Develop vegetative buffers around parking areas on public land, as well as direct overland flow away from lake (Municipal Facilities and/or Parks and Recreation Facilities section)
- On public land, move parking areas out of the SMP jurisdiction or set them back from the shoreline (Municipal Facilities and/or Parks and Recreation Facilities section)
- Develop a demonstration project on public lands using "soft" structural stabilization, vegetative stabilization, or a combination of an upland retaining wall with vegetation restoration (Municipal Facilities or Parks and Recreation Facilities section, depending on demonstration project location)
- Restore emergent vegetation on publicly owned land (Municipal Facilities and/or Parks and Recreation Facilities section)
- Provide public access at the railroad grade in Neppel Park and restore emergent vegetation and vegetative buffer (Parks and Recreation Facilities section)

### **Development Opportunities**

The City may have opportunities to work with shoreline developers to complete restoration actions in addition to minimum mitigation requirements. Possibilities include:

- Establishing a Shoreline Restoration Bank—a list of restoration projects that would further the City's restoration objectives and that might not otherwise be completed. Where on-site mitigation opportunities are limited by building site constraints, limited potential ecological gains, or other site-specific factors, and where the proposed development is consistent with the City's *Comprehensive Plan* and meets an identified need, the requirement for onsite mitigation might be waived in exchange for completion by the developer of a high-priority restoration project on another site. The City would probably want to require that the off-site restoration provide a gain in shoreline ecological functions (i.e., the off-site project would have to exceed the "no net loss" standard—it would have to go beyond resulting in no net loss and enhance shoreline ecological function).
- Serving as liaison between developers interested in restoration and organizations that can provide technical expertise and funding for projects that will advance the City's restoration objectives. Regional Coordination, if undertaken, will make the City a valuable clearinghouse for restoration information and a good link between developers and restoration opportunities.

### **Development Incentives**

Development incentives might include waiving some or all development application fees or waiving city-required infrastructure improvement fees for developers, landowners, and agricultural land managers willing to take protection and restoration actions in addition to those required by the SMP.

## **Tax Relief System**

The City may want to consider a tax system to encourage shoreline restoration measures. Possibilities include:

- Working with Grant County to craft a preferential tax incentive through the Public Benefit Rating System administered by the County under the Open Space Taxation Act (RCW 84.34), to encourage private landowners to preserve natural shore-zone features for "open space" tax relief. The Department of Ecology has published a technical guidance document for local governments that wish to improve landowner stewardship of natural resources. More information about the program can be found at <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/99108.html>. The guidance document provides "technically based property selection criteria designed to augment existing open space efforts with protection of key natural resource features which directly benefit the watershed. Communities can choose to use any portion, or all, of these criteria when tailoring a Public Benefit Rating System to address the specific watershed issues they are facing."

## **Fee System**

The City may want to consider a fee system to directly fund shoreline restoration measures. Possibilities include:

- Establishing a Shoreline Restoration Fund. A chief limitation to implementing restoration is local funding, which is often required as a match for state and federal grant sources. To foster ecological restoration of the City's shorelines, the City could establish an account that may serve as a source of local match monies for non-profit organizations implementing restoration of the City's shorelines. The fund could be administered by the Shorelines Administrator and supported by a levy on new shoreline development proportional to the size or cost of the new development project. Monies drawn from the fund would be used as a local match for restoration grant funds.

## **Resource Directories**

For landowners: A resource directory will help property owners who are interested in restoration to identify sources of technical and financial assistance.

For City staff: a directory will help City staff to identify and coordinate shoreline restoration opportunities. The focus might be somewhat different than in a directory designed for landowners; for instance, the staff directory might include descriptions of shoreline-related programs of different City departments so that staff can more easily coordinate resources and funding within the organization.

**Resources** for actions that are not currently being implemented or for which funding is not anticipated

## **Programs and Organizations**

Existing programs and organizations offer a wealth of resources to support the City in implementing its protection and restoration plan, and to help local citizens undertake protection and restoration projects—either on their own land or as sponsors of larger projects. They include:

- Central Basin Audubon Society. According to its web site, the local chapter of the Audubon Society works to promote environmental education, including presenting programs on conservation and wildlife protection; and works on wildlife protection projects; assists in creating backyard wildlife habitats; works to identify wildlife habitat around the Columbia Basin, and protect and enhance it; and is working to develop community partnerships.
- Moses Lake Conservation District
- Grant Conservation District. The Conservation District "identifies challenges and guides solutions voluntarily." Its Water on Wheels program offers free workshops on watersheds, soils, groundwater, and resource conservation, for both students and adults.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service. The NRCS's natural resources conservation programs help people reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water quality, and increase wildlife habitat. The NRCS offers a wealth of resources, including several of the funding programs listed below, and sponsors the Big Bend Resource Conservation and Development Council. The RC&D works as a "catalyst" to create partnerships that will successfully achieve economic and natural

resource development while maintaining an environmental ethic, and has completed a number of projects, including the Coulee Corridor Consortium, the Columbia Basin Water Initiative, a Shrub-Steppe Demonstration Planting, and a Leafy Spurge Management Project.

- The Washington Department of Ecology. Ecology's mission is to protect, preserve and enhance Washington's environment, and promote the wise management of our air, land and water; its goals are to prevent pollution, clean up pollution, and support sustainable communities and natural resources. The agency offers many programs and resources to support local communities in advancing those goals, addressing subjects such as stormwater management, aquatic plant management, lake stewardship, and wetland stewardship that are relevant to Moses Lake's restoration efforts.
- The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. The WDFW's mission is to provide sound stewardship of fish and wildlife. The agency offers many programs and resources to support management of fish and wildlife species based on the best available science, including the Backyard Sanctuary Program (a wildlife stewardship program for homeowners), resources for habitat and wildlife stewardship, information about Priority Habitats and Species, technical assistance for habitat protection and restoration, and funding programs (see below).
- The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The mission of the USFWS is "working with others to conserve, protect and enhance fish, wildlife, and plants and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people." The service offers a number of programs related to fish and wildlife habitat conservation, including administering the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1916 to conserve migratory bird populations and their habitats and sponsoring National Fishing and Boating Week. The service also administers grant programs, with funding available to individuals, local governments, and conservation groups (see below).
- WSU Grant-Adams Master Gardeners. Master Gardeners promote environmentally-sound gardening by providing public education on topics such as plants, pests, and water conservation, and water quality. The local Master Gardeners researched the issue and created a Power Point presentation and tri-fold brochure about shoreline stabilization for Moses Lake. These were presented at a well-attended public meeting in May, 2009, at Big Bend Community College.
- Moses Lake Irrigation & Rehabilitation District (MLIRD). MLIRD's mission has three parks: Irrigation, recreation, and rehabilitation. The rehabilitation portion deals with improving water quality in the lake, including aquatic weed abatement and sediment removal. The efforts of this agency should be considered when looking at overall lake restoration possibilities.

### **Sources of funding**

Listed below are some potential sources of grant funding for restoration projects in the City's shoreline areas. Funding programs change frequently, and the list will need to be updated at least once a year to stay current. Other grants may be available in addition to the ones listed below. The list here is intended to give a sense of the range of funding sources available and the types of projects that may be fundable.

1. Ducks Unlimited
  - a. Matching Aid to Restore States Habitat (MARSH)—matching funds to help states acquire and enhance wetland habitat
2. FishAmerica Foundation
  - a. FishAmerica Grant Program—funding for hands-on, action-oriented projects that directly enhance water quality, habitat and/or sport fish populations
3. National Fish and Wildlife Foundation
  - a. Bring Back the Natives—funding for on-the-ground efforts to restore native aquatic species to their historic range that initiate partnerships with private landowners, demonstrate successful collaborative efforts, address watershed health issues that would lead to restoring habitats and are key to restoring native aquatic species and their migration corridors, and promote stewardship on private lands

- b. Native Plant Conservation Initiative (with federal agencies) —funding for "on-the-ground" projects that involve local communities and citizen volunteers in the restoration of native plant communities
- 4. Natural Resource Conservation Service
  - a. Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQIP) —provides technical, financial, and educational assistance to farmers and ranchers to address livestock-related natural resource concerns and other, more general conservation priorities
  - b. Wildlife Habitat Improvement Program (WHIP)— technical and cost-share assistance to establish and improve fish and wildlife habitat on private land
- 5. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
  - a. Basinwide Restoration New Starts General Investigation—cost-share funding for basin restoration projects and research
  - b. Section 204: Environmental Restoration Projects in Connection with Dredging—funding for projects to restore, protect, and create aquatic and wetland habitats in connection with construction or maintenance dredging of an authorized project
  - c. Section 206: Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Program funding for projects to restore aquatic ecosystems
- 6. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
  - a. Planning/Technical Assistance Program—assistance with data collection and analysis related to water supply and water quality, engineering, hydrologic studies, sedimentation, and water resources planning
- 7. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
  - a. Five-Star Restoration Program—challenge grants, technical support and opportunities for information exchange to enable community-based wetland and stream restoration projects
  - b. Wetland Protection, Restoration, and Stewardship Discretionary Funding—support for studies and activities related to implementation of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act for both wetlands and sediment management. Projects can support regulatory, planning, restoration or outreach issues
- 8. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
  - a. North American Wetlands Conservation Act Grants Program— funding assistance to promote conservation of wetlands and associated habitats for migratory birds and other wildlife
  - b. Partners for Fish and Wildlife—a voluntary partnership program that helps private landowners restore wetlands and other important fish and wildlife habitats on their own lands
  - c. Cooperative Conservation Initiative —grants to restore natural resources and establish or expand wildlife habitat
- 9. Washington Department of Ecology (with U.S. EPA)
  - a. Nonpoint Source Implementation Grant (319) Program—grants to support activities including technical assistance, financial assistance, education, training, technology transfer, demonstration projects, and monitoring to assess the success of specific nonpoint source implementation projects
- 10. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
  - a. Landowner Incentive Program (LIP) —a competitive grant program to provide financial assistance to private individual landowners for the protection, enhancement, or restoration of habitat to benefit species-at-risk on privately owned lands



## 11. Washington Department of Natural Resources

- a. Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account—grant-in-aid support for the purchase, improvement, or protection of aquatic lands for public purposes, and for providing and improving access to such lands

### **The City's Role**

The City of Moses Lake is likely to have a number of different roles in implementing this restoration plan. The City may undertake some activities itself, such as retrofitting storm sewer outlets, modifying park management to support shoreline ecological functions, and educating residents. The City may assist in moving other projects forward by participating in regional coordination. And, in some instances, the City may support a project undertaken by others, or champion a project while seeking an individual or organization to carry it out. For instance, the City might seek an organization to develop a brochure on a specific topic, which the City would then distribute to shoreline landowners. Or, the City might write a letter of support for an organization seeking funding to complete a project that will advance the City's restoration objectives.

The City will also need to evaluate progress toward its restoration goal, and make changes to keep its restoration strategies up to date.

### **Prioritization**

The City will need to make decisions about what projects to undertake, what projects to support, and what projects to promote. When evaluating projects in which it has a role or in which a role is proposed for it, the City will use the following criteria to establish priorities:

- Availability of adequate funding to complete the project on schedule, maintain the completed project, and monitor outcomes
- Feasibility. Components of feasibility include, but are not limited to:
  - Landowner willingness
  - Public support
- Preference should be given to projects that will:
  - Further the goals of this protection and restoration plan. When all other factors are equal, preference should be given to projects that will address more than one objective
  - Employ one or more of the strategies in this protection and restoration plan
  - In the case of restoration projects, address a known degraded condition
  - Address a worsening situation (as opposed to one that is stable)
  - Be consistent with other restoration and management plans
- Preference should be given to projects that will not cause damage to adjacent properties or shoreline areas

This plan includes a list of prioritization criteria, rather than restoration priorities, to give the City flexibility in evaluating projects that are not included in the plan and to allow for adaptive management. First, the plan does not list all possible projects. If projects that are not part of the plan are proposed, the criteria will allow the City to evaluate them.

### **Monitoring and Adaptive Management**

The City will use monitoring and adaptive management to ensure continued progress toward its restoration goal.

- The City will monitor progress toward each of its restoration objectives using metrics appropriate to the objective. The metrics do not need to involve complicated ecological evaluations, although it will be useful to incorporate available data, such as water quality information gathered as part of TMDL work. The City can use simple quantitative measures such as number of storm sewer outfalls retrofitted, number of bulkheads replaced, changes in wetland ratings, changes in water quality, and number of hits on a City shoreline restoration website. It can also use qualitative assessments of its progress on strategies that involve, for instance, regional coordination and landowner education. The information generated will help the City to see which strategies and actions are working well and which may need to be refined (which will inform adaptive

management) as well as gauge progress toward the objectives. As more data become available and the City is able to quantify restoration needs, it may choose to use more precise metrics.

- The City will use adaptive management, regularly reviewing its objectives, assessing progress, and updating its strategies and actions in response to its findings. Adaptive management means adapting the restoration plan to meet changing needs, conditions, and resources; and to respond to new information. As restoration work is completed, some approaches may cease to be applicable. Other approaches may prove unpopular or be impractical due to lack of funding or coordination challenges. In addition, new possibilities may present themselves as regional coordination bears fruit or as new data become available. Adaptive management will allow the City to keep the restoration plan fresh and relevant as it makes progress and learns does and doesn't work well under the specific circumstances operating in Moses Lake.



## Benchmarks and timelines

The table below outlines The City's shoreline restoration benchmarks and its timeline for meeting those benchmarks.

A benchmark is a point of reference against which progress toward the City's restoration goal ("Promote the restoration of Moses Lake to a healthy state that supports natural habitat while providing recreational benefits to the community") can be measured. Benchmarks make it easier to assess results, even when those results don't involve physical changes that are easy to see.

Both the benchmarks and the timeline are based on the City's current perception of restoration needs and resources available to meet those needs. They can be expected to evolve over time. As work is completed, the City will have a better sense of what needs to be done and what it can reasonably expect to accomplish given its resources and the constraints on those resources—both of which will be dynamic, always changing as the city grows and the needs of its citizens change. The City will also gain a growing understanding of how each restoration strategy is working and where resources will best be invested to achieve its restoration objectives and meets its goal.

Year	Benchmark
2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The City adopted the <i>Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington</i></li> </ul>
2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>First replacement of a failing bulkhead with biotechnical stabilization by a private property owner</li> </ul>
2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The City has adopted an updated SMP</li> <li>The City has amended its Critical Areas Ordinance to increase wetland buffer widths outside of shoreline areas and to ensure adequate protection of wetlands and their buffers</li> </ul>
2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The City is administering its SMP and CAO effectively</li> <li>The City uses staff contact and educational materials to encourage landowners to restore shoreline vegetation and enhance wetlands</li> <li>City departments and programs all support healthy shoreline ecological function, through mechanisms such as parks management, code administration, and development regulations</li> <li>The City has identified target parcels for restoration of vegetative cover and riparian buffers in areas prone to high soil erosion</li> <li>The City has evaluated its progress toward the goal of shoreline restoration and has instituted a program of regular evaluation and adaptive management to ensure continued progress</li> <li>The City has developed an incentive program for restoration of vegetative cover and riparian buffers in areas prone to high soil erosion</li> <li>The City is actively involved in educating landowners, developers, recreationists, and other users of the lake about shoreline ecological functions at ways of protecting and restoring them. (The City's role may be as a coordinator.)</li> <li>The City uses incentives, as well as staff contact and educational materials, to encourage landowners to restore shoreline vegetation and enhance wetlands</li> </ul>
2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The City has highlighted locations for most efficient and effective stormwater retrofitting</li> <li>The City enjoys good working relationships with other local governments and with resource agencies, and works in partnership with them to protect and restore shoreline ecological functions at the ecosystem level</li> <li>The City has provided public access at the railroad grade in Neppel Park and restored the emergent vegetation and vegetative buffer</li> <li>On at least one City-owned site, the City has developed vegetative buffers around parking areas and directed overland flow away from the lake</li> <li>The City has updated its development regulations to manage runoff from upland areas and to protect vulnerable soils outside of shoreline areas</li> <li>An incentive program to encourage protection of wetlands on agricultural land is in place and available to landowners throughout the subbasin, and the City and its partners are actively promoting participation</li> <li>An incentive program to encourage protection of vegetative buffers on agricultural land is in place and available to landowners throughout the subbasin, and the City and its partners are actively promoting participation</li> <li>The City enjoys good working relationships with recreation user groups, and works in partnership with them to protect and restore shoreline ecological functions at the ecosystem</li> </ul>

	<p>level</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least 75% of construction sites in the City use proper erosion controls</li> <li>• The City has completed a bulkhead replacement demonstration project</li> <li>• A program for restoration of vegetative cover and riparian buffers in areas prone to high soil erosion is underway, with funding and a project sponsor</li> <li>• A comprehensive outreach and education program ensures that at least 75% of landowners, local lake users, developers, real estate agents, and managers of agricultural lands understand the effects of their decisions on water quality and on riparian habitat and migration corridors; the reasons for development regulations that protect shoreline ecological functions; and, where applicable, the incentive programs available to them</li> <li>• The City actively promotes shoreline incentive programs, including developing and distributing educational materials, communicating with landowners, and working to develop funding (possibly in partnerships with other project sponsors)</li> </ul>
2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The dune ecosystem is adequately understood to provide a scientific basis for regulating uses in dune areas</li> <li>• The City has retrofitted 10% of the storm sewer outfall identified in the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i></li> <li>• The City has assessed landowner willingness to restore vegetative cover and riparian buffers in areas prone to high soil erosion</li> <li>• At least 10% of agricultural uses in the subbasin have taken action to protect vegetative buffers</li> <li>• A comprehensive outreach and education program ensures that at least 50% of out-of-town recreational lake users understand the effects of their decisions on water quality and on riparian habitat and migration corridors</li> <li>• The City provides incentives for landowners to develop vegetative buffers around parking areas and direct overland flow away from the lake on sites that have already been developed</li> </ul>
2030	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The City has retrofitted 25% of the storm sewer outfall identified in the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i></li> <li>• The City has completed all needed vegetation restoration projects on City-owned land, and has a program in place to maintain shoreline vegetation, including re-planting heavily used areas (e.g., areas around boat launches and fishing and swimming access points) as needed</li> <li>• All remaining agricultural uses in the City and its UGA have taken action to protect wetlands, vegetative buffers, and shoreline ecological functions, including fencing riparian areas to exclude livestock and employing Best Management Practices</li> <li>• The number of bulkheads has been reduced by 10%</li> <li>• Vegetative cover and riparian buffers have been restored on at least 25% of the land prone to high soil erosion in Reach 23</li> </ul>
2040	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The City has retrofitted 50% of the storm sewer outfall identified in the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i></li> <li>• On 50% of its shoreline sites, the City has developed vegetative buffers around parking areas and directed overland flow away from the lake</li> </ul>
2050	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The City has retrofitted all of the storm sewer outfall identified in the <i>Shoreline Inventory and Characterization</i></li> </ul>
2060	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On all of its shoreline sites, the City has developed vegetative buffers around parking areas and directed overland flow away from the lake</li> <li>• On 50% of its shoreline sites, the City has moved parking areas out of shoreline jurisdiction or set them back so that they have little or no impact on shoreline ecological functions</li> <li>• The number of bulkheads has been reduced by 25%</li> </ul>



## Chapter 12 Administration and Compliance

- 12-10 General
- 12-20 Permits
- 12-30 Exemptions
- 12-40 Assurance Device
- 12-50 Permit Revocation
- 12-60 Non-Conforming Development

### 12-10 GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 12-10-010 As required by WAC 173-26-191(2)(a)(iii)(A): All proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to chapter 90.58 RCW, the Shoreline Management Act and this Master Program, whether or not a permit is required
- 12-10-020 “Feasible” is defined in the definitions section of this Master Program. In cases where this Master Program require certain actions unless they are infeasible, the burden of proving infeasibility is on the applicant. In determining an action’s infeasibility, the reviewing agency may weigh the action’s relative public costs and public benefits, considered in the short- and long-term time frame.
- 12-10-030 Landscape Plan and Installation.
- A. Where this Master Program or a condition of a permit or exemption requires a planting plan or a landscape plan, the plan shall contain the following information at a minimum:
1. North arrow and scale (standard engineering scale, 1"=50' or larger)
  2. Property lines, ordinary high water mark, existing and proposed structures, paved or graveled areas, streets, sidewalks, and overhead and underground utilities.
  3. Proposed location of all trees, shrubs, ground cover, and any proposed or existing physical elements, such as fencing, walls, curbing, or benches, that may affect the overall landscape. Areas with existing vegetation that will be retained should be marked and described.
  4. A plant schedule which indicates the scientific and common names, quantities, spacing, and sizes at planting and maturity for all plants in the landscape plan.
  5. A legend which shows symbols and types of plants.
  6. Location and details of irrigation system. The source of water and type of irrigation system shall be noted.
- B. Unless otherwise required or allowed as part of the permit or exemption, the following shall be the standards for all required plantings:
1. The minimum size at planting for shrubs and trees shall be one gallon.
  2. The minimum spacing for shrubs shall be 3' on center.
  3. Plants shall be installed not later than the next planting season after completion of the project.
  4. The proponent shall assess the plantings at least once a year for the first three growing seasons after installation and shall replace all dead or dying plant materials in a timely manner.
- C. When deemed appropriate, the decision maker may require third party monitoring of required plantings, with reports submitted to the City yearly during the monitoring period.
- 12-10-040 Transfer of an Approved Permit or Variance. An approved permit or variance may be transferred from the original applicant to any successors in interest to the applicant for the property for which

the permit or variance was approved, provided that all of the conditions and requirements of the approved permit or variance shall continue in effect as long as the use or activity is pursued or the structure exists, unless the terms of the permit are modified in accordance with the applicable provisions of this Master Program.

- 12-10-050 Appeals. Appeals related to issuance or non-issuance of shoreline permits and exemptions shall be processed the same as any other land use appeals.
- 12-10-060 Enforcement. Violations of this Master Program shall be enforced in the same manner as zoning violations.
- 12-10-070 Severability. If any provisions of this Master Program, or its application to any person or legal entity or parcel of land or circumstances, is held invalid, the remainder of the Master Program, or the application of the provisions to other persons or legal entities or parcels of land or circumstances, shall not be affected.
- 12-10-080 Conflict of Provisions. Should a conflict occur between the provisions of this Master Program or between this Master Program and the laws, regulations, code, or rules promulgated by any other authority having jurisdiction within the City of Moses Lake, the most restrictive requirement shall be applied, except when constrained by federal or state law, or where specifically provided otherwise in the Master Program.

## **12-20 PERMITS**

- 12-20-010 Permit Processing Procedures. Shoreline substantial development permits, shoreline conditional use permits, and shoreline variances shall be processed the same as other land use permits, using the procedures in Moses Lake Municipal Code Title 20. A public hearing in front of the Planning Commission shall be required for Shoreline Substantial Development Permits, Shoreline Conditional Use Permits, and Shoreline Variances. The Planning Commission shall be the approving authority for Shoreline Substantial Development Permits, Shoreline Conditional Use Permits, and Shoreline Variances.
- 12-20-020 Application submittal requirements. The following shall be required for a complete application:
- A. The name, address, phone number, and signature of the applicant. The applicant should be the owner of the property or the primary proponent of the project and not the representative of the owner or proponent.
  - B. The name, address, and phone number of the applicant's representative, if any.
  - C. The name, address, phone number, and signature of the property owner, if other than the applicant.
  - D. Location of property, including address, legal description, and Assessor Parcel Number.
  - E. A general description of the proposed project that includes the proposed use or uses and the activities necessary to accomplish the project.
  - F. A general description of the property as it now exists including its physical characteristics and improvements and structures.
  - G. A general description of the vicinity of the proposed project including identification of the adjacent uses, structures, and improvements; intensity of development, and physical characteristics.
  - H. A site development plan consisting of maps and elevation drawings, drawn to an appropriate standard scale to depict clearly all required information, photographs, and text which shall include:
    - 1. The boundary of the parcel(s) of land upon which the development is proposed.
    - 2. The ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of all water bodies located adjacent to or within the boundary of the project. This may be an approximate location provided that for any development where a determination of consistency with the applicable regulations requires a precise location of the OHWM, the mark shall be located precisely and the

biological and hydrological basis for the location as indicated on the plans shall be included in the development plan. Where the OHWM is neither adjacent to or within the boundary of the project, the plan shall indicate the distance and direction to the nearest OHWM of a shoreline.

3. Existing and proposed land contours. The contours shall be at intervals sufficient to accurately determine the existing character of the property and the extent of proposed change to the land that is necessary for the development. Areas within the boundary that will not be altered by the development may be indicated as such and contours approximated for that area.
4. A wetland analysis report for any wetlands within 200' of the development, and a compensatory mitigation report for wetland areas that will be altered or used as a part of the development.
5. A general indication of the character of vegetation found on the site.
6. The dimensions and locations of all existing and proposed structures and improvements including but not limited to buildings, paved or graveled areas, roads, utilities, material stockpiles or surcharge, and stormwater management facilities.
- I. Where applicable, a landscaping plan for the project.
- J. Where applicable, plans for development of areas on or off site as mitigation for impacts associated with the proposed project.
- K. Quantity, source, and composition of any fill material that is placed on the site, whether temporary or permanent.
- L. Quantity, composition, and destination of any excavated or dredged material.
- M. A vicinity map showing the relationship of the property and proposed development or use to roads, utilities, existing developments and uses on adjacent properties.
- N. Where applicable, a depiction of the impacts to views from existing residential uses and public areas.
- O. For conditional use permits and variances, a written statement addressing the approval criteria listed below.
- P. For variances, a plan which clearly indicates where development could occur without approval of a variance, the physical features and circumstances on the property that provide a basis for the variance request, and the location of adjacent structures and uses.
- Q. If applicable, critical area reports.
- R. Any other information deemed necessary by the Shoreline Administrator.

12-20-030 Review criteria for all development:

- A. All uses and developments shall be consistent with the policies and provisions of the Shoreline Management Act, the state guidelines implementing the Act, and this Master Program. All permits or statements of exemption issued for development or use within shoreline jurisdiction shall include written findings prepared by the Administrator, including compliance with bulk and dimensional standards, policies, and regulations of this Master Program. At the time of approval of the permit or exemption, the approving authority may attach conditions to the approval of developments and/or uses as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the Shoreline Management Act, guidelines, and Master Program.
- B. No permit shall be issued for any new or expanded building or structure with a height of more than 35' above average grade that will obstruct the view of a substantial number of residences on areas adjoining the shorelines except when overriding considerations of the public interest will be served.

12-20-040 Review Criteria for Substantial Development Permits:

- A. All uses and development shall be consistent with the policies and procedures of the Shoreline Management Act, the state guidelines implementing the Act, and this Master Program.
- B. At the time of permit approval, the Planning Commission may attach conditions to the approval of



permits as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the Act, the guidelines, and this Master Program.

12-20-050 Shoreline Conditional Use Permits.

- A. The purpose of a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit is to allow greater flexibility in the application of the use regulations of the Shoreline Master Program in a manner consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020. Conditional use permits should be granted in a circumstance where denial of the permit would result in a thwarting of the policy enumerated in RCW 90.58.020. Where necessary, special conditions may be required on the development or on the use of land or water.
- B. Uses which are classified in this Master Program as conditional uses and uses which are unmentioned uses within the Master Program may be authorized provided the applicant demonstrates all of the following:
  - 1. The proposed use is consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and this Master Program.
  - 2. The proposed use will not interfere with the normal public use of public shorelines.
  - 3. The proposed use of the site and design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the Comprehensive Plan and this Master Program.
  - 4. The proposed use will cause no significant adverse effects to the shoreline environment in which it is to be located.
  - 5. The public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- C. In granting conditional use permits, the Planning Commission shall consider the cumulative impact of additional requests for like action in the area. For example, if conditional use permits were granted for other developments in the area where similar circumstances exist, the total of the conditional uses shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not produce substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.
- D. A use which is specifically prohibited in this Master Program may not be authorized as a conditional use.

12-20-060 Variances

- A. The purpose of a variance is strictly limited to granting relief from specific bulk, dimensional, or performance standards set forth in this Master Program where there are extraordinary or unique circumstances relating to the property such that the strict implementation of the Master Program will impose unnecessary hardships on the applicant or thwart the policies set forth in RCW 90.58.020.
- B. Variances should be granted in circumstances where denial of the permit would result in a thwarting of the policy enumerated in RCW 90.58.020. In all instances, the applicant must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances exist and that the public interest shall suffer no substantial detrimental effects.
- C. Variances for development and/or uses proposed landward of the ordinary high water mark and/or landward of any wetland may be authorized provided the applicant demonstrates all of the following:
  - 1. The strict application of the bulk, dimensional, or performance standards of this Master Program precludes, or significantly interferes with, reasonable use of the property.
  - 2. The hardship described in (1) above is specifically related to the property, and is the result of unique conditions such as irregular lot shape, size, or natural features and the application of the Master Program, and not, for example, from deed restrictions or the applicant's own actions.

3. The design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the Comprehensive Plan and this Master Program.
  4. The design of the project will not cause adverse impacts to the shoreline environment.
  5. The variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege not enjoyed by other properties in the area.
  6. The variance requested is the minimum necessary to afford relief.
  7. The public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
- D. Variances for development and/or uses proposed waterward of the ordinary high water mark and/or within any wetland may be authorized provided the applicant demonstrates all of the following:
1. The strict application of the bulk, dimensional, or performance standards of this Master Program precludes all reasonable use of the property.
  2. The hardship described in (1) above is specifically related to the property, and is the result of unique conditions such as irregular lot shape, size, or natural features and the application of the Master Program, and not, for example, from deed restrictions or the applicant's own actions.
  3. The design of the project is compatible with other authorized uses within the area and with uses planned for the area under the Comprehensive Plan and this Master Program.
  4. The design of the project will not cause adverse impacts to the shoreline environment.
  5. The variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege not enjoyed by other properties in the area.
  6. The variance requested is the minimum necessary to afford relief.
  7. The public interest will suffer no substantial detrimental effect.
  8. The public rights of navigation and use of the shorelines will not be adversely affected.
- E. In granting variances, the Planning Commission shall consider the cumulative impact of additional requests for like action in the area. For example, if variances were granted for other developments and/or uses in the area where similar circumstances exist, the total of the variances shall also remain consistent with the policies of RCW 90.58.020 and shall not produce substantial adverse effects to the shoreline environment.

## 12-30

### EXEMPTIONS FROM THE SHORELINE SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT PROCESS

#### 12-30-010 General

- A. An exemption from the substantial development permit process is not an exemption from compliance with the Shoreline Management Act or this Master Program, or from any other regulatory requirement. To be authorized, all uses and developments must be consistent with the policies and regulatory provisions of this Master Program and the Shoreline Management Act. A statement of exemption shall be obtained for exempt activities consistent with the provisions of

12-30-020.

- B. Exemptions shall be construed narrowly. Only those developments that meet the precise terms of one or more of the listed exemptions may be granted exemptions from the substantial development permit process.
- C. The burden of proof that a development or use is exempt is on the proponent of the exempt development action.
- D. If any part of a proposed development is not eligible for exemption, then a substantial development permit is required for the entire project. Exemptions shall not be issued for a series of inter-dependent actions that in sum would require a permit, i.e., a project cannot be submitted in a piece-meal fashion to avoid the requirement for a substantial development permit.
- E. A development or use that is listed as a conditional use pursuant to this Master Program or is an unmentioned use, must obtain a conditional use permit even if the development or use does not require a substantial development permit.
- F. When a development or use is proposed that does not comply with the bulk, dimensional and/or performance standards of this Master Program, such development or use shall only be authorized by approval of a shoreline variance even if the development or use does not require a substantial development permit.
- G. All statements of exemption issued for development or use within shoreline jurisdiction shall include written findings prepared by the Administrator, including compliance with bulk and dimensional standards, policies, and regulations of this Master Program. The Administrator may attach conditions to the approval of exempt developments and/or uses as necessary to assure consistency of the project with the Shoreline Management Act and the Master Program.
- H. Before issuing a Letter of Exemption, the Shoreline Administrator shall review the Master Program to determine if the proposed development requires a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit and/or a Shoreline Variance. It may be necessary for the Shoreline Administrator to conduct a site inspection to ensure that the proposed development meets the exemption criteria. Application information shall include the same items as for a Substantial Development Permit unless otherwise waived by the Administrator.

12-30-020 Exemptions Listed. The following activities shall be considered exempt from the requirement to obtain a shoreline substantial development permit. A Statement of Exemption shall be required for those activities listed in 12-30-020 B and C.

- A. Any development of which the total cost or fair market value, whichever is higher, does not exceed \$5,000, if such development does not materially interfere with the normal public use of the water or shorelines of the state. For purposes of determining whether or not a permit is required, the total cost or fair market value shall be based on the value of development that is occurring on shorelines of the state. The total cost or fair market value of the development shall include the fair market value of any donated, contributed, or found labor, equipment, or materials. The dollar amount shall be adjusted for inflation every five years, as specified in WAC 173-27-040(2)(a).
- B. Normal maintenance or repair of existing structures or developments, including damage by accident, fire, or elements. "Normal maintenance" includes those usual acts to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation from a lawfully established condition. "Normal repair" means to restore a development to a state comparable to its original condition, including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location, and external appearance, within one year after decay or partial destruction, except when repair causes substantial adverse effects to shoreline resources or environment. Replacement of a structure or development may be authorized as repair when

such replacement is the common method of repair for the type of structure or development and the replacement structure or development is comparable to the original structure or development including but not limited to its size, shape, configuration, location, and external appearance, and the replacement does not cause substantial adverse effects to shoreline resources or environment. Repair or replacement of shoreline stabilization structures shall meet the requirements of section 8-30 of this Master Program.

- C. Construction of a biotechnical shoreline stabilization or beach nourishment erosion control projects associated with a single family residence when the project has been approved by the Department of Fish and Wildlife. Per Section 8-30 of this Master Program, construction of a bulkhead or riprap at or near the ordinary high water mark shall require a Shoreline Conditional Use Permit and must demonstrate that the proposed bulkhead or riprap is the most natural protective system that is feasible on the site. Such modifications must be for protecting land from erosion, not for the purpose of creating dry land. See the Shoreline Stabilization section of Chapter 8, Shoreline Modifications, for additional requirements.
- D. Emergency construction necessary to protect property from damage by the elements. An "emergency" is an unanticipated and imminent threat to public health, safety, or the environment which requires immediate action within a time too short to allow full compliance with the Shoreline Management Act or this Master Program. Emergency construction does not include development of new permanent protective structures where none previously existed. Where new protective structures are deemed by the Administrator to be the appropriate means to address the emergency situation, upon abatement of the emergency situation the new structure shall be removed or any permit which would have been required, absent an emergency, shall be obtained. All emergency construction shall be consistent with the policies of the Shoreline Management Act and this Master Program. As a general matter, flooding or other seasonal events that can be anticipated and may occur but that are not imminent are not an emergency. A written statement from a qualified expert may be required to verify that an emergency exists.
- E. Construction and practices normal or necessary for farming, irrigation, and ranching activities, including agricultural service roads and utilities on shorelands, construction of a barn or similar agricultural structure, and the construction and maintenance of irrigation structures including but not limited to head gates, pumping facilities, and irrigation channels: Provided, That a feedlot of any size, all processing plants, other activities of a commercial nature, alteration of the contour of the shorelands by leveling or filling other than that which results from normal cultivation, shall not be considered normal or necessary farming or ranching activities. A feedlot shall be an enclosure or facility used or capable of being used for feeding livestock hay, grain, silage, or other livestock feed, but shall not include land for growing crops or vegetation for livestock feeding and/or grazing, nor shall it include normal livestock wintering operations.
- F. Construction by an owner, lessee, or contract purchaser of a single family residence for their own use or for the use of their family, which residence does not exceed a height of 35' above average grade level and which meets all other state and local requirements. "Single family residence" means a detached dwelling designed for and occupied by one family, including those structures and developments within a contiguous ownership which are a normal appurtenance. An appurtenance is necessarily connected to the use and enjoyment of a single family residence and is located landward of the ordinary high water mark and outside the perimeter of any wetland or buffer. Normal appurtenances include a garage, deck, driveway, utilities, fences, and a swimming pool. Grading is addressed under Clearing and Grading, Section 8-10 of Chapter 8.
- G. Construction of a dock, including a community dock, designed for pleasure craft only, for the private noncommercial use of the owners, lessee, or contract purchaser of a single family and multi-family residences. A dock is a landing and moorage facility for watercraft and does not include recreational decks, storage facilities, or other appurtenances, but does include a walkway to bridge emergent vegetation. This exemption applies if the fair market value of the dock does not exceed \$10,000, but if subsequent construction having a fair market value exceeding \$2,500

occurs within five years of completion of the prior construction, the subsequent construction shall be considered substantial development for the purpose of this Master Program.

- H. Operation, maintenance, or construction of canals, waterways, drains, reservoirs, or other facilities that now exist or are hereafter created or developed as part of an irrigation system for the primary purpose of making use of system waters, including return flow and artificially stored ground water from the irrigation of lands.
- I. The marking of property lines or corners on state-owned lands, when such marking does not significantly interfere with normal public use of the surface of the water.
- J. Operation and maintenance of any system of dikes, ditches, drains, or other facilities existing on June 4, 1975, which were created, developed, or utilized primarily as a part of an agricultural drainage or diking system.
- K. Any project with a certification from the governor pursuant to Chapter 80.50 RCW in regard to energy facilities to meet state demands.
- L. Site exploration and investigation activities that are prerequisite to preparation of an application for development authorization under this Master Program, if all of the following conditions are met:
  - 1. The activity does not interfere with the normal public use of the surface waters.
  - 2. The activity will have no significant adverse impact on the environment including but not limited to fish, wildlife, fish or wildlife habitat, water quality, and aesthetic values.
  - 3. The activity does not involve the installation of any structure, and upon completion of the activity the vegetation and land configuration of the site are restored to conditions existing before the activity.
  - 4. A private entity seeking development authorization under this section first posts a performance bond or provides other evidence of financial responsibility to the City to ensure that the site is restored to pre-existing conditions.
- M. The process of removing or controlling aquatic noxious weeds, as defined in RCW 17.26.020, through the use of an herbicide or other treatment methods applicable to weed control that are recommended by a final environmental impact statement published by the Department of Agriculture or the Department of Ecology jointly with other state agencies under Chapter 43.21C RCW.
- N. Watershed restoration projects as defined in WAC 173-27-040. The City shall review the projects for consistency with the Master Program in an expeditious manner and shall issue its decision along with any conditions within 45 days of receiving all materials necessary to review the request for exemption from the applicant. No fee may be charged for accepting and processing requests for exemption for watershed restoration projects as used in this section.
- O. A public or private project, the primary purpose of which is to improve fish or wildlife habitat or fish passage, when all of the following apply:
  - 1. The project has been approved in writing by the Department of Fish and Wildlife as necessary for the improvement of the habitat or passage and appropriately designed and sited to accomplish the intended purpose.
  - 2. The project has received hydraulic project approval by the Department of Fish and Wildlife pursuant to Chapter 77.55 RCW.
  - 3. The Shoreline Administrator has determined that the project is consistent with this Master Program. The City shall make such determination in a timely manner and provide it by letter to the project proponent.

**12-40**  
**ASSURANCE DEVICE**



- 12-40-010 In appropriate circumstances, the decision maker approving the permit may require a reasonable performance assurance device to assure compliance with the provisions of the Shoreline Management Act, the Master Program, any permit conditions, and the permit application as approved.
- A. The assurance device may be a bond, assignment of funds, or other readily-accessible source of funds in a form acceptable to the City Attorney. Interest from any interest-bearing form of assurance device will accrue to the benefit of the depositor.
  - B. The assurance device shall specify the date and time by which the work which it guarantees shall be completed. The assurance device shall specify the date and time by which the City can negotiate the device to obtain the funds to do the work it guarantees. In all cases, the date and time for negotiation shall be at least 60 days after the deadline for the completion of the work.
  - C. Amount of Assurance Device. The Shoreline Administrator shall determine the amount of the assurance device as follows:
    - 1. For a performance device the amount will be 150% of the cost of the work or improvements covered by the assurance device based on estimated costs immediately following the expiration of the device together with the City's cost of obtaining funds from the assurance device and administering the project.
    - 2. For a maintenance device the amount will not be less than 20% of the cost of replacing the material covered by the assurance device based on estimated costs on the last day covered by the device together with the City's cost of obtaining funds from the assurance device and administering the project.
    - 3. In each case where the City requires or allows an applicant to establish an assurance device, the owner of the subject property shall give the City a signed notarized irrevocable license to run with the property to allow the employees, agents, or contractors of the City to go on the subject property for the purpose of inspecting and, if necessary, doing the work or making the improvements covered by the assurance device. The applicant shall file this license with the Administrator.
  - D. Release of Assurance Device
    - 1. After the work or improvements covered by a performance assurance device have been completed to the satisfaction of the City, or at the end of the time covered by a maintenance assurance device, the applicant may request the City to release the device.
    - 2. The City shall release such device as expeditiously as possible after receipt of a request for release, if the work or maintenance time period is finished.
  - E. Use of Proceeds - Notice to Applicant. If during the period of time covered by a maintenance assurance device or after the date by which the required work or improvements are to be completed under a performance assurance device, the Administrator determines that the work or improvements have not been complied with, he/she shall notify the applicant. The notice must include the following information:
    - 1. The work that must be done or the improvement that must be made to comply with the requirements and permit assurance device.
    - 2. The amount of time that the applicant has to commence and complete the required work or improvements.



3. That, if the work or improvements are not commenced and completed within the time specified, the City will use the proceeds of the assurance device to have the required work or improvements completed.
- F. Use of Proceeds - Work by the City. If the work or improvements covered by the assurance device are not completed within the time specified in the notice given under subsection E above, the City shall obtain the proceeds of the device and do the work or make the improvements covered by the device. The City may either have employees of the City do the work or make the improvements or have a contractor do the work or make the improvements.
  - G. Use of Proceeds - Refund of Excess, Charge for All Costs. The property owner is responsible for all costs incurred by the City in doing the work and making the improvements covered by the assurance device. The City shall release or refund any proceeds of a performance device after subtracting all costs for doing the work covered by the device and the costs of obtaining the proceeds of the device. The owner of the subject property shall reimburse the City for any amount expended by the City that exceeds the proceeds of the device. The City shall have a lien against the subject property for the amount of any excess.
  - H. Itemized Statement. In each case where the City uses any of the proceeds of the device, it shall give the owner of the subject property an itemized statement of all proceeds and funds used.

## 12-50 PERMIT REVOCATION

- 12-50-010 This section applies to requests or decisions to revoke shoreline substantial development permits, shoreline conditional use permits, and shoreline variances.
- 12-50-020 The Planning Commission shall have the power to revoke or modify approved shoreline substantial development permits, shoreline conditional use permits, and shoreline variances.
- 12-50-030 Decision Procedure for Revocation.
  - A. City staff or any other persons who are aggrieved by activities undertaken under a shoreline permit may request in writing that the Planning Commission revoke or modify the permit.
  - B. The Administrator shall schedule a public hearing for the next Planning Commission meeting where the review can be accommodated and the required notice given.
  - C. Notice of Public Hearing.
    1. The administrator shall publish a notice of revocation hearing at least ten days before the hearing date.
    2. At least ten days before the hearing date, the Administrator shall mail notice of the hearing to the party to whom the permit was issued, the owner of the property for which the permit was issued, the person or persons who requested revocation of the permit, and any persons who requested notice of the hearing in writing.
    3. The notice shall include the following information:
      - a. The name of the permit holder and, if applicable, the project name.
      - b. The street address of the subject property and a description of the property in terms sufficient to identify the location.

- c. A brief description of the issues.
    - d. The date, time, and place of the public hearing.
    - e. A statement of the right of any person to participate in the public hearing by providing written statements before or at the hearing, and orally at the hearing.
  - D. The Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing before deciding whether to revoke or add conditions to the permit or variance. Any person may submit written statements or speak at the hearing. The duration of public comments may be equitably limited. At the hearing, members of the Planning Commission may request such additional information as is reasonably necessary to evaluate whether the permit or variance should be revoked.
  - E. After the public hearing has concluded, the Planning Commission shall decide whether to revoke, modify, or add conditions to the permit.
    - 1. The verbal decision may be announced at the same public meeting as the public hearing or at another public meeting.
    - 2. The decision shall be based on the decision criteria in subsection 12-50-040, below.
    - 3. If the Planning Commission decides to revoke the permit, they may require restoration or reclamation of the property and may set time limits for the completion of these activities.
    - 4. The Planning Commission shall adopt written findings of fact and conclusions which support the decision and any required conditions.
  - F. Within seven days of the date of the adoption of the decision, a Notice of Decision and the findings of fact and conclusions shall be mailed by the Administrator to the permit holder, the property owner, the Department of Ecology, and the person who requested revocation of the permit.
  - G. Effect of Decision.
    - 1. The decision of the Planning Commission may be appealed to the City Council as provided for in Chapter 20.11 of the Moses Lake Municipal Code. The decision of the City Council is the final decision of the City.
    - 2. The decision of the City Council to uphold or overturn the Planning Commission's decision on the revocation may be appealed to the Washington State Shorelines Hearing Board as provided in RCW 90.58.180 and WAC 461-08.
    - 3. If the Planning Commission revokes the permit, all activity authorized by the permit shall immediately cease, unless the Planning Commission grants a period of time to complete the activity or reclaim the site, or a court authorizes continued operation during an appeal.
- 12-50-040 Criteria for Revocation. The Planning Commission may revoke or modify a permit if it finds that one or more of the following criteria are met:
- A. The permit approval was obtained by fraud.
  - B. The permit is being exercised contrary to the terms or conditions of approval or in violation of law.
  - C. The use or activity for which approval was granted is being exercised so as to be detrimental to

the public health, safety, or welfare.

## 12-60 NON-CONFORMING DEVELOPMENT

- 12-60-010 Non-conforming development is a shoreline use or structure which was lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of the Shoreline Management Act or the applicable local Master Program, or amendments thereto, but which does not conform to present regulations or standards of the Master Program or policies of the Shoreline Management Act. In such cases, the standards of this section shall apply.
- 12-60-020 Non-conforming uses. Non-conforming uses include shoreline uses which were lawfully established prior to the effective date of the Shoreline Management Act or the Master Program, or amendments thereto, but which would not be approved based on present regulations of the Master Program or policies of the Act. An example is a commercial use within an area designated for residential uses. The continuation of a non-conforming use is subject to the following standards:
- A. Non-conforming development may be continued provided that it is not enlarged, intensified, or altered in any way which increases its nonconformity.
  - B. Change of ownership, tenancy, or management of a non-conforming use shall not affect its non-conforming status under this Master Program, provided that the use does not change or intensify.
  - C. Additional development of any property on which a non-conforming use exists shall conform to this Master Program.
  - D. A non-conforming use shall not be changed to another non-conforming use, regardless of the conforming or non-conforming status of the building or structure in which it is housed; unless the new use would be housed in the existing building, the building footprint would not increase, and the new use and any related site changes would not negatively impact shoreline ecological functions.
  - E. If a non-conforming use is converted to a conforming use, no non-conforming use may be resumed.
  - E. A non-conforming use or development which is moved any distance must be brought into conformance with this Master Program and the Shoreline Management Act.
  - F. If a non-conforming use is discontinued for twelve consecutive months or for twelve months during any two-year period, any subsequent use shall be conforming; it shall not be necessary to show that the owner of the property intends to abandon such non-conforming use in order for the non-conforming rights to expire.
  - G. Non-conforming uses that are destroyed by fire, explosion, flood, or other casualty may be restored or replaced, provided that the following are met:
    - 1. The reconstruction process is commenced within 18 months of the date of the damage and is completed within three years of the issuance of permits.
    - 2. The reconstruction does not expand, enlarge, or otherwise increase the non-conformity.
    - 3. The development shall conform to this Master Program.
    - 4. This provision does not apply to bulkheads.
  - H. Non-conforming uses may be maintained, repaired, renovated, or remodeled so long as non-conformance with the standards and regulations of this Master Program is not increased, except that any change, enlargement, repair, or replacement of bulkheads must conform to the Shoreline Stabilization section of Chapter 8, and the use regulations in Table 9.2.

- I. Uses that are non-conforming with respect to zoning provisions shall also comply with the non-conforming use provisions of Moses Lake Municipal Code Title 18.
- 12-60-030 Non-Conforming Structures. Non-conforming structures are those which were lawfully constructed or placed prior to the effective date of the Shoreline Management Act or Master Program, or amendments thereto, and are conforming in regard to use but which do not conform to present bulk, height, dimensional, setback, or density requirements. Non-conforming structures may continue, and may be maintained as follows:
- A. A non-conforming structure that is damaged may be restored to those configurations existing immediately prior to the time it was damaged, provided that the following are met:
    - 1. The reconstruction process is commenced within 18 months of the date of the damage.
    - 2. Reconstruction is completed within two years of permit issuance.
    - 3. The reconstruction does not expand, enlarge, or otherwise increase the non-conformity, except as provided in subsection B below.
  - B. A building or structure, non-conforming as to the bulk, dimensional, or density requirements of this Master Program, may be added to or enlarged if such addition or enlargement conforms to the regulations of the shoreline environment in which it is located. In such cases, such addition or enlargement shall be treated as a separate building or structure in determining conformity to all of the requirements of this Master Program.
  - C. Non-conforming structures may be maintained, repaired, renovated, or remodeled so long as non-conformance with the standards and regulations of this Master Program is not increased, except that any change, enlargement, repair, or replacement of bulkheads must conform to the Shoreline Stabilization section of Chapter 8, and the use regulations in Table 9.2.
- 12-60-040 Non-Conforming Lots. An undeveloped single family residential lot, tract, parcel, or site which was legally established prior to the effective date of the Master Program but which cannot be developed with the present buffer standards may be developed so long as such development conforms to all other requirements of the Master Program and Shoreline Management Act. See Section 7-100-030 of this Master Program for regulations regarding buffers for existing lots.



## Chapter 13

### Definitions

#### Acronyms

OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act, RCW 43.21C
SMA	Shoreline Management Act, RCW 90.58
SMP	Shoreline Master Program, the local regulations implementing the SMA
WAC	Washington Administrative Code

#### Definitions

**Accessory use**—A use that is demonstrably subordinate and incidental to the principal use, and which functionally supports its activity.

**Administrator**—See Shoreline Administrator, below

**Adverse impact**—An impact that can be measured or is tangible and has a reasonable likelihood of causing moderate or greater harm to ecological functions or processes or other elements of the shoreline environment.

**Agricultural activities**—Agricultural uses and practices including, but not limited to: Producing, breeding, or increasing agricultural products; rotating and changing agricultural crops; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie fallow in which it is plowed and tilled but left unseeded; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant as a result of adverse agricultural market conditions; allowing land used for agricultural activities to lie dormant because the land is enrolled in a local, state, or federal conservation program, or the land is subject to a conservation easement; conducting agricultural operations; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural equipment; maintaining, repairing, and replacing agricultural facilities, provided that the replacement facility is no closer to the shoreline than the original facility; and maintaining agricultural lands under production or cultivation. (WAC 173-26-020(3)(a)).

**Agriculture**—The cultivation of soil, production of crops, or raising of livestock.

**Alteration**—Any human-induced change in the existing condition of the shoreline, a critical area, or a buffer. Alterations include but are not limited to grading, filling, channelizing, dredging, removing vegetation, construction, compaction, excavation, paving, or any other activity that changes the character of the shoreline, critical area, or buffer.

**Archaeological resources**—Any material remains of human life or activities which are of archaeological interest. See WAC 25-48-020(10).

**Areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water**—Areas where an aquifer that is a source of drinking water is vulnerable to contamination that would affect the potability of the water. See WAC 365-190-030(2).

**Associated Wetlands**—Wetlands in proximity to and that either influence or are influenced by waters of a lake or stream subject to the Shoreline Management Act.

**Best Available Science**—Current scientific information used in the process to designate, protect, or restore critical areas, that is derived from a valid scientific process as defined by WAC 365-195-900 through 925.

**Best Management Practices (BMPs) (for wetlands)**—Conservation practices of systems of practices and management that:

- a. Control soil loss and reduce water quality degradation caused by high concentrations of nutrients, animal wastes, toxics, or sediment;



- b. Minimize adverse impacts to surface water and ground water flow and circulation patterns and to the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of the site;
- c. Protect trees, vegetation, and soils designated to be retained during and following site construction, and use native plant species appropriate to the site for revegetation of disturbed areas; and
- d. Provide standards for proper use of chemical herbicides within critical areas.

**Bioengineering**—The practice of using natural vegetative materials to stabilize shorelines and prevent erosion. It is a technology that uses live plant materials as a main structural component. As the plants grow, these systems work with the natural environment to create permanent protection and preservation of land. Both biological and structural elements of the system must function together in an integrated and complementary manner, whether the structural elements are natural or man-made. Vegetation also mitigates the seasonal temperature extremes of water, provides habitat for wildlife, and contributes to the aesthetic quality of the area.

**Boat house**—A structure over or immediately adjacent to water, used to store watercraft. A boat house is different from a storage building further inland.

**Boat lift**—An over-water structure designed to lift a boat, personal watercraft, or similar device, so that the boat is stored above but generally not in contact with the water.

**Buffer**—An area of intact vegetation maintained between human activities and a particular natural feature, such as a wetland or shoreline. The buffer reduces potential negative impacts by providing an area around the feature that is unaffected by the activity.

**Bulkhead**—A vertical wall in contact with the water. A bulkhead is different from a retaining wall which does not touch the water.

**Compensatory Mitigation**—A project for the purpose of mitigating, at an equivalent or greater level, unavoidable impacts that remain after all appropriate and practical avoidance and minimization measures have been implemented. Compensatory mitigation includes, but is not limited to, wetland creation, restoration, enhancement, and preservation; stream restoration, relocation, and rehabilitation; and buffer enhancement.

**Cover**—Any feature that provides protective concealment for fish and wildlife. Cover may consist of live or dead vegetation or geomorphic features such as boulders and undercut banks. Cover may be used to escape from predators or weather, or for feeding or resting.

**Critical areas**—The Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A) defines critical areas as the following areas and ecosystems:

- a. Wetlands
- b. Areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water
- c. Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas
- d. Frequently flooded areas
- e. Geologically hazardous areas.

**Cumulative effects**—The combined, incremental effects of human activity on ecological functions and values. Cumulative impacts result when the effects of an action are added to or interact with the effects of other actions in a particular place and within a particular time. It is the combination of these effects, and any resulting environmental degradation, that should be the focus of cumulative impact analysis and changes to policies and permitting decisions.

**Cumulative impacts**—The impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time.

**Development**—A land use consisting of construction; grading, dredging, drilling, or dumping; filling; removal of sand, gravel, or minerals; bulkheading; driving of pilings; or any project of a temporary or permanent nature which modifies structures, land, or shorelines and which does not fall within allowable exemptions.

**Diversity**—The variety, distribution, and abundance of different plant and animal communities and species within an

area.

Ecological functions or shoreline functions—the work performed, role played, or services provided by the physical, chemical, and biological processes that contribute to the maintenance of the aquatic and terrestrial environments that constitute the shoreline's natural ecosystem. Ecological functions include ecosystem-wide processes such as those associated with the movement of water, sediment, and organic materials; the presence and movement of fish and wildlife, and the maintenance of water quality. Ecological functions also include individual components and localized processes such as those associated with shoreline vegetation, soils, water movement through the soil and across the land surface, and the composition and configuration of the bed and banks of water bodies.

Shoreline ecological functions of lakes and wetlands include:

1. Hydrologic: Storing water and sediment, attenuating wave energy, removing excess nutrients and toxic compounds, recruitment of large woody debris and other organic matter.
2. Shoreline Vegetation: maintaining temperature, removing excessive nutrients and toxic compounds, attenuating wave energy, sediment removal and stabilization; and providing woody debris and other organic matter.
3. Hyporheic functions: removing excessive nutrients and toxic compounds, water storage, support of vegetation, and sediment storage and maintenance of base flows.
4. Habitat for aquatic and shoreline-dependent birds, invertebrates, mammals, amphibians, and fish: space or conditions for reproduction, resting, hiding and migration; and food production and delivery.

Ecologically intact shorelines—Those which retain the majority of their natural shoreline functions and values, as evidenced by vegetation and shoreline configuration. Generally, but not necessarily, ecologically intact shorelines are free of structural shoreline modifications, structures, and intensive human activities.

Ecological restoration—See Restore, below.

Ecosystem-wide processes—The suite of naturally-occurring physical and geologic processes of erosion, transport, and deposition; and specific chemical processes that shape landforms within a specific shoreline ecosystem and determine both types of habitat and the associated ecological functions. (WAC 173-26-020)

Emergency—An unanticipated and imminent threat to public health, safety, or the environment which requires immediate action within a time too short to allow full compliance with the Master Program. Emergency construction is construed narrowly as to that which is necessary to protect property from the elements (RCW 90.58.030(3eiii) and WAC 173-27-040(2d)).

Enhancement—Alteration of an existing resource to improve or increase its characteristics and processes without degrading other existing functions. Enhancements are to be distinguished from resource creation or restoration projects.

Environmental impacts—The effects or consequences of actions on the natural and built environments. Environmental impacts include effects upon elements of the environment listed in the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) (WAC 197-11-600 and 197-11-444).

Environment(s) or Shoreline environment(s)—Designations given specific shoreline areas based on the existing development pattern, the biophysical capabilities and limitations, and the goals and aspirations of the local citizenry, as part of a Master Program

Feasible—That an action, such as a development project, mitigation, or preservation requirement, meets all of the following conditions:

1. The action can be accomplished with technologies and methods that have been used in the past in similar circumstances, or studies or tests have demonstrated in similar circumstances that such approaches are currently available and likely to achieve the intended results;
2. The action provides a reasonable likelihood of achieving its intended purpose; and
3. The action does not physically preclude achieving the project's primary intended legal use.

Flood protection facilities—Any constructed facilities for the purpose of flood protection, such as dikes, levees, and overflow channels.

Floodway—The channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be reserved in order

to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than one foot (1') (MLMC 18.53.030.J). Upper Parker Horn, above the Fill, is an example.

Frequently flooded areas—Lands in the floodplain subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year. These areas include, but are not limited to, streams, rivers, lakes, coastal areas, wetlands, and the like. WAC 365-190-030(7)

Functions and values—The services provided by shorelines and critical areas to society, including but not limited to: improving and maintaining water quality, providing fish and wildlife habitat, supporting terrestrial and aquatic food chains, reducing flooding and erosive flows, wave attenuation, historical or archaeological importance, educational opportunities, and recreation.

Geologically hazardous areas—Areas that because of their susceptibility to erosion, sliding, earthquake, or other geological events, are not suited to the siting of commercial, residential, or industrial development consistent with public health or safety concerns. See RCW 36.70A.030(9).

Geotechnical report or geotechnical analysis—A scientific study or evaluation conducted by a qualified expert that includes a description of the ground and surface hydrology and geology, the affected land form and its susceptibility to mass wasting, erosion, and other geologic hazards or processes, conclusions and recommendations regarding the effect of the proposed development on geologic conditions, the adequacy of the site to be developed, the impacts of the proposed development, alternative approaches to the proposed development, and measures to mitigate potential site-specific and cumulative geological and hydrological impacts of the proposed development, including the potential adverse impacts to adjacent and down-current properties. Geotechnical reports shall conform to accepted technical standards and must be prepared by qualified professional engineers or geologists who have professional expertise about the regional and local shoreline geology and processes.

Hard engineering—The use of permanent, unnatural structures such as dams, levees, and riprap, and activities such as periodic dredging to fight problems such as flooding and erosion. Often these techniques completely change the natural structure of an area, and require periodic maintenance.

Impervious surface—Any alteration to the surface of a soil that prevents or retards the entry of water into it compared to its undisturbed condition, or any reductions in infiltration that cause water to run off the surface in greater quantities or at an increased rate of flow compared to that present prior to development. Common impervious surfaces include, but are not limited to: rooftops, walkways, patios, driveways, parking lots or storage areas, concrete or asphalt paving, gravel roads, packed earthen materials, and oiled macadam or other surfaces which similarly impede the natural infiltration of stormwater.

Marina—Commercial moorage, which may include a facility that provides launching, storage, supplies, moorage, and other accessory services for 6 or more pleasure and/or commercial water craft.

Mass failure—Movement of aggregates of soil, rock, and vegetation down slope in response to gravity.

Mitigation or mitigation sequence—The following sequence of steps listed in order of priority, with (a) being the highest priority:

- a) Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action;
- b) Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, by using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation, or timing, to avoid or reduce impacts;
- c) Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment;
- d) Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations;
- e) Compensating for the impact by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources or environment; and
- f) Monitoring the impact and the compensation projects and taking appropriate corrective measures.

Mitigation plan—A detailed plan indicating actions necessary to mitigate adverse impacts to critical areas.

Monitoring—Evaluating the impacts of development proposals over time on the biological, hydrological, and geological elements of ecosystem functions and processes and/or assessing the performance of required

mitigation measures through the collection and analysis of data by various methods for the purpose of understanding and documenting changes in natural ecosystems and features compared to baseline or pre-project conditions and/or reference sites.

**Native Vegetation**—Plant species that occur naturally in a particular region or environment and were not introduced by human activities and that reasonably could be expected to occur naturally on the site.

**Natural character of the shoreline**—The structural components of a given shoreline area that together comprise the societal and ecological functions of the shoreline. Natural character includes, but is not limited to: vegetative structure, soil composition, underlying geology, presence of wildlife, aesthetics, and utility for human use.

**Natural resources** include but are not limited to scenic vistas and other natural aesthetic resources, fish and wildlife habitat, including shoreline vegetation and wetlands associated with shorelines, and soils.

**No net loss as a public policy goal** means the maintenance of the aggregate total of the City's shoreline ecological functions at its current level of environmental resource productivity. As a development and/or mitigation standard, no net loss requires that the impacts of a particular shoreline development and/or use, whether permitted or exempt, be identified and prevented or mitigated, such that it has no resulting adverse impacts on shoreline ecological functions or processes.

**Non-conforming use**—A shoreline use or structure or portion thereof which was lawfully constructed or established prior to the effective date of the Shoreline Management Act or local shoreline master program provision, or amendments, but no longer conforms to the policies and regulations of this Master Program.

**Non-water-oriented**—Uses which have little or no relationship to the shoreline and are not considered priority uses under the Shoreline Management Act. Any use which does not meet the definition of water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment is classified as non-water-oriented.

Examples of non-water-oriented uses include professional offices, general retail or commercial uses, residential development, and mini-storage facilities.

**Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM)**—That mark on all lakes and streams that will be found by examining the bed and banks and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long continued in all ordinary years, as to mark upon the soil a character distinct from that of the abutting upland. Where the OHWM cannot be found on a lake, it shall be the line of mean high water. Where the OHWM cannot be found on a stream, it shall be the line of mean high water. For braided streams, the OHWM is found on the banks forming the outer limit of the depression within which the braiding occurs. See WAC 173-22-030(11).

**Person**—Any individual, firm, partnership, association, organization, agency, or any non-federal entity however designated.

**Planned Unit Development (PUD)**—One or a group of specified uses, such as residential, resort, commercial, or industrial, to be planned and constructed as a unit. Zoning and subdivision regulations with respect to lot size, building bulk, etc. may be varied to allow design innovations and special features in exchange for additional and/or superior site amenities or community benefits.

**Practical alternative**—An alternative that is available and capable of being carried out after taking into consideration short-term and long-term cost, existing technology, options of project scale and phasing, and logistics in light of overall project purposes, and having less impacts to environmentally sensitive areas. It may include using an area not owned by the applicant that can reasonably be obtained, utilized, expanded or managed in order to fulfill the basic purpose of the proposed development.

**Priority Habitat**—A habitat type with unique or significant value to a diverse assemblage of species. State-recognized priority habitats in Grant County are as follows:

1. Aspen stands
2. Biodiversity areas and corridors
3. Inland dunes
4. Shrub-steppe
5. Riparian
6. Freshwater wetlands and freshwater deepwater



7. Instream
8. Caves
9. Cliffs
10. Snags and logs
11. Talus

A priority habitat may be described by a unique vegetation type (e.g. shrub-steppe) or by a dominant plant species that is of primary importance to fish and wildlife (such as areas dominated by greasewood, which generally grows in alkaline/saline soils and stabilizes the soil where other vegetation cannot grow, providing food, shade and cover for various species). A priority habitat may also be described by a successional stage (such as freshwater wetlands where the land is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems because the water table is at or near the surface or the land is covered in shallow water). Alternatively, a priority habitat may consist of a specific habitat element (such as caves or snags) of key value to fish and wildlife. A priority habitat may contain priority and/or non-priority fish and wildlife.

**Priority species**—Species requiring protective measures and/or management guidelines to ensure their persistence at genetically viable population levels. Priority species are those that meet any of the criteria listed below:

1. State-listed or state proposed species. State-listed species are those native fish and wildlife species legally designated as endangered (WAC 232-12-014), threatened (WAC 232-12-011), or sensitive (WAC 232-12-011). State proposed species are those fish and wildlife species that will be reviewed by the Department of Fish and Wildlife for possible listing as endangered, threatened, or sensitive according to the process and criteria defined in WAC 232-12-297.
2. Vulnerable aggregations. Vulnerable aggregations include those species or groups of animals susceptible to significant population declines, within a specific area or statewide, by virtue of their inclination to congregate. One example is heron colonies.
3. Species of recreational, commercial, and/or tribal importance. Native and nonnative fish, shellfish, and wildlife species of recreational or commercial importance and recognized species used for tribal ceremonial and subsistence purposes that are vulnerable to habitat loss or degradation.
4. Species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act as either proposed, threatened, or endangered.

**Public Trust Doctrine**—Common law principle which says that the waters of the state belong to the people of the state, no matter who owns the underlying land. See Chapter 1, Introduction, for more discussion of the Public Trust Doctrine.

**Qualified Professional**—A person with experience and training in the pertinent scientific discipline, and who is a qualified scientific expert with expertise appropriate for the relevant area subject in accordance with WAC 365-195-905. A qualified professional must have obtained a B.S. or B.A. or equivalent degree in biology, engineering, environmental studies, fisheries, geomorphology, or related field, and have at least five years of related work experience.

- A. A qualified professional for wetlands must be a professional wetland scientist with at least 2 years of full-time work experience as a wetlands professional, including delineating wetlands using the state or federal manuals, preparing wetland reports, conducting function assessments, and developing and implementing mitigation plans.
- B. A qualified professional for habitat must have a degree in biology or a related degree and professional experience related to the subject species.
- C. A qualified professional for a geologic hazard must be a professional engineer or geologist, licensed in the State of Washington.
- D. A qualified professional for critical aquifer recharge areas means a hydrologist, geologist, engineer, or other scientist with experience in preparing hydrogeological assessments.

**Repair or Maintenance**—An activity that restores the character, scope, size, and design of a serviceable area, structure, or land use to its previously authorized and undamaged condition. Activities that change the character, size, or scope of a project beyond the original design and drain, dredge, fill, flood, or otherwise alter critical areas are not included in this definition.

**Restore, restoration, or ecological restoration**—The reestablishment or upgrading of impaired ecological shoreline functions or processes. This may be accomplished through measures including but not limited to re-vegetation,

removal of intrusive shoreline structures and removal or treatment of toxic materials. Restoration does not imply a requirement for returning the shoreline area to aboriginal or pre-European settlement conditions.

Retaining wall—A vertical wall that is upland of the ordinary high water mark so is not in contact with the water. A retaining wall is not the same as a bulkhead.

Revetment—A sloped shoreline structure built to protect an existing eroding shoreline or newly-placed fill against currents and wave action. Revetments are most commonly built of randomly placed boulders (riprap), but may also be built of sand cement bags, paving, or building blocks, gabions (rock-filled wire baskets), or other systems and materials. The principal features of a revetment, regardless of type, are a heavy armor layer, a filter layer, and toe protection.

Riparian—Pertaining to the area directly adjacent to water that is characterized by moist soils and plants that require moist conditions.

Riparian vegetation—Vegetation that requires the continuous presence of water, or conditions that are more moist than normally found in the area, thus creating a transition zone between aquatic and terrestrial habitats which provides cover, shade, and food sources for aquatic and terrestrial insects for fish species. Riparian vegetation stabilizes shorelines, attenuates high water flows, provides wildlife habitat and travel corridors, and provides a source of limbs and other woody debris to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, which, in turn, stabilizes shorelines.

Riparian zone—The area adjacent to a water body (stream, lake, or marine water) that contains vegetation that influences the aquatic ecosystem, nearshore area, and/or fish and wildlife habitat by providing shade, fine or large woody material, nutrients, organic debris, sediment filtration, and terrestrial insects (prey production). Riparian areas include those portions of terrestrial ecosystems that significantly influence exchanges of energy and matter with aquatic ecosystems (i.e., zones of influence). Riparian zones provide important wildlife habitat. They provide sites for foraging, breeding and nesting; cover to escape predators or weather; and corridors that connect different parts of a watershed for dispersal and migration.

Riprap—A layer, facing, or armoring mound of stone placed on shoulders, slopes, or other such places that is intended to protect them from erosion, scour, or sloughing of a structure or embankment; also, the stone that is so used.

Sensitive area—Any area that is naturally unsuitable or undesirable for intensive human use or development due to its higher development costs or its value to the region or community in its natural or present condition.

Shall—A mandate; the action must be done.

Shoreline Administrator—The Director of the Community Development Department or the staff member designated by the Director to perform the review functions required in this Master Program.

Shoreline functions—See Ecological functions, above.

Shoreline jurisdiction—The water, along with those lands extending landward for 200' in all directions measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary high water mark; floodways and contiguous floodplain areas landward 200' from such floodways, and all wetlands associated the streams and lake. See WAC 173-22-030(14).

Shoreline modifications—Those actions that modify the physical configuration or qualities of the shoreline area, usually through the construction of a physical element such as a dike, breakwater, pier, weir, dredged basin, fill, bulkhead, or other shoreline structure. Shoreline modifications can include other actions, such as clearing, grading, or application of chemicals.

Should—A particular action is required unless there is a demonstrated, compelling reason, based on policy of the Shoreline Management Act and WAC 173-26, against taking the action.

Significant—A reasonable likelihood of more than a moderate adverse impact on environmental quality.

Significant vegetation removal—The removal or alteration of trees, shrubs, and/or ground cover by clearing, grading, cutting, burning, chemical means, or other activity that causes significant impacts to ecological functions



provided by such vegetation. The removal of invasive or noxious weeds does not constitute significant vegetation removal. Tree pruning, not including tree topping, where it does not affect ecological functions, does not constitute significant vegetation removal.

Soft engineering—Engineering techniques that use natural processes and materials to alter or restore an area. Soft engineering alters the environment as little as possible, and avoids the long-term need for human intervention.

Substantially degrade—To cause significant ecological impact.

Surface water facilities—Any water management facilities related to the lake, streams, or wetlands. Irrigation pumps would be an example.

Unavoidable impacts—Adverse impacts that remain after all appropriate and practical avoidance and minimization measures have been implemented.

Vegetation—Plant life of all kinds, including trees, shrubs, grasses, and groundcover plants.

Vegetative stabilization—Planting of vegetation to retain soil and retard erosion, reduce wave action, and retain bottom materials. It also means utilization of temporary structures or netting to enable plants to establish themselves in an unstable area.

Water-dependent—A use or portion of a use which cannot exist in a location that is not adjacent to the water and which is dependent on the water by reason of the intrinsic nature of its operations. See WAC 173-26-020(36). Examples include docks, fishing, marinas, aquaculture, float plane facilities, irrigation facilities, and sewer outfalls.

Water-enjoyment—A recreational use, or other use facilitating public access to the shoreline as a primary characteristic of the use; or a use that provides for recreational use or aesthetic enjoyment of the shoreline for a substantial number of people as a general characteristic of the use and which through the location, design, and operation assures the public's ability to enjoy the physical and aesthetic qualities of the shoreline. In order to qualify as a water-enjoyment use, the use must be open to the general public and the shoreline-oriented space within the project must be devoted to the specific aspects of the use that foster shoreline enjoyment. See WAC 173-26-020(37). Water-enjoyment uses may include parks with activities enhanced by proximity to the water, piers and other improvement that facilitate public access to the shoreline, restaurants with water views and public access improvements, museums with an orientation to shoreline topics, aquariums, scientific/ecological reserves, resorts with uses open to the public and public access to the shoreline.

Water-oriented—Any water-dependent, water-related, or water-enjoyment use, or a combination of such uses.

Water-related—A use or portion of a use which is not intrinsically dependent on a waterfront location but whose economic viability is dependent on a waterfront location for one of the following reasons:

1. Because of a functional requirement for a waterfront location such as the arrival or shipment of materials by water or the need for large quantities of water; or
2. Because the use provides a necessary service supportive of the water-dependent uses and the proximity of the use to its customers makes its services less expensive and/or more convenient.

Examples include professional services primarily serving water-dependent activities, utility lines serving water-dependent activities, and storage of water-transported goods. Uses which obtain an economic advantage from the shoreline due simply to its amenity factor (such as restaurants and hotels) are considered water-enjoyment rather than water-related.

Wetland or wetlands—Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. Wetlands may include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland areas created to mitigate conversion of wetlands. See RCW 36.70A.030(21).

## **Appendix A Mitigation**

### **A-1 Introduction**

Where this Master Program refers to “mitigation” or “compensatory mitigation”, this appendix applies, in addition to any specific requirements from sections of the SMP applicable to the project.

### **A-10 General Provisions**

A-10-010 Compensatory mitigation shall only be allowed when the proposed mitigation replaces the impacted functions identified in the critical area or shoreline report and shall be identified in the mitigation management report in compliance with Section A-10-050, below.

A-10-020 The order of preference for proposed mitigation shall be first, on-site and like-in-kind, second, the mitigation shall be proposed within the shoreline jurisdiction of the City of Moses Lake as found in this SMP’s Restoration Plan; and least preferable, the compensatory mitigation may be proposed within the watershed as identified on any finalized watershed plan.

A-10-030 Compensatory mitigation shall be allowed only after mitigation sequencing is applied and higher priority means of mitigation are determined to be infeasible. The requirements for compensatory mitigation must include provisions for:

1. Mitigation replacement ratios or a similar method of addressing the following:
  - a. The risk of failure of the compensatory mitigation action;
  - b. The length of time it will take the compensatory mitigation action to adequately replace the impacted critical area’s functions and values;
  - c. The gain or loss of the type, quality, and quantity of the ecological functions of the proposed restoration or enhancement area as compared with the impacted critical area.
2. Establishment of performance standards for evaluating the success of compensatory mitigation actions;
3. Establishment of long-term monitoring and reporting procedures to determine if performance standards are met; and
4. Establishment of long-term protection and management of compensatory mitigation sites.

A-10-040 Performance Standards. The following performance standards shall apply to compensatory mitigation projects:

1. Mitigation planting survival will be 80% for the first year, and 70% for each of the 4 years following.
2. Mitigation must be installed no later than the next growing season after completion of site improvements, unless otherwise approved by the Administrator.
3. Where necessary, a permanent means of irrigation shall be installed for the mitigation plantings that are designed by a person experienced in designing and installing irrigation systems, as approved by the Administrator. The design shall meet the specific needs of riparian and shrub steppe vegetation.
4. Monitoring reports must include verification that the planting areas have less than 20% total non-native/invasive plant cover. Invasive plant species include those on the state noxious weed list,

or considered a noxious or problem weed by the Natural Resources Conservation Services or the Grant County Weed Board.

5. Monitoring reports shall be submitted to the Community Development Department one year after mitigation installation; three years after mitigation installation; and five years after mitigation installation. The length of time required for monitoring reports may be increased by the Administrator on a case-by-case basis when longer monitoring time is necessary to establish or re-establish functions and values of the mitigation site. Monitoring reports shall be submitted by a qualified person knowledgeable about plants. The report must verify that the conditions of approval and provisions in the management and mitigation plan have been satisfied.
6. Mitigation sites shall be maintained to ensure that the mitigation and management plan objectives are successful. Maintenance shall include corrective actions to rectify problems, include rigorous, as-needed elimination of undesirable plants; protection of shrubs and small trees from competition from grasses and herbaceous plants; protection of plants from damage by animals such as beavers; and replacement of dead plants.
7. Sequential release of funds associated with the surety agreement shall be reviewed for conformance with the conditions of approval and the mitigation and management plan. Release of funds may occur in increments of 1/3 for substantial conformance with the plan and conditions of approval. Verification of conformance with the provisions of the mitigation and management plan and conditions of approval after one year of mitigation installation shall also allow for the full release of funds associated with irrigation systems, clearing and grubbing, and any soil amendments. If the standards that are not met are only minimally out of compliance and contingency actions are actively being pursued by the property owner to bring the project into compliance, the City may choose to consider a partial release of the scheduled increment. Non-compliance can result in one or more of the following actions: carry over of the surety amount to the next review period, use of funds to remedy the nonconformance, scheduling a hearing with the Planning Commission to review conformance with the conditions of approval and to determine what actions may be appropriate.
8. Prior to site development and/or building permit issuance, a performance surety agreement acceptable to the City Attorney must be entered into by the property owner and the City. The surety agreement must include the complete costs for the mitigation and monitoring, which may include but is not limited to: the cost of installation, delivery, plant material, soil amendments, permanent irrigation, seed mix, and three monitoring visits and reports by a qualified professional. The Community Development Department must approve the estimate for said improvements. The surety shall be for 150% of the estimated cost.

A-10-040 Credits from a certified mitigation bank may be used to compensate for unavoidable impacts.

A-10-050 Mitigation Monitoring Report

1. For projects regulated by the Department of Ecology and/or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, monitoring reports must meet the requirements of the regulating agency.
2. For projects not regulated by the Department of Ecology or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, monitoring reports shall include the following:
  - a. Monitoring Report Details
    - i. Project name
    - ii. Who prepared the monitoring report (name, address, phone number) and their qualifications
    - iii. Who the report was prepared for (name, address, phone number)
    - iv. Date of the monitoring report, including the time period for which the monitoring activities occurred

- b. Brief Description of the mitigation project
  - i. Location (address)
  - ii. Goals and objectives of the mitigation project
  - iii. Dates when phases of construction of the mitigation project were completed (excavation, planting, installation of irrigation, etc)
  - iv. Area (acres or square feet) and type(s) of wetland or aquatic resources being monitored
  - v. Who completed the mitigation activities (name, address, phone number)
- c. 8 ½ by 11 inch map of the mitigation site
- d. Summary of management actions (maintenance and contingency) taken at the site
- e. Summary of monitoring results
  - i. List of performance standards for the mitigation project
  - ii. Table of monitoring results compared to performance standards for the specified target dates
  - iii. Summary of field data taken to determine compliance with performance standards
  - iv. Photos from the most recent monitoring visit
  - v. Summary of any problems or significant events that occurred that may affect the ultimate success of the mitigation

